1. Introduction

One of the main products of chemical industry is synthetic ammonia. A continuous increase in the manufacturing of this product is caused by the need to improve the yield of crops through the introduction of nitrogen-containing fertilizers into soil. This necessity, in turn, is associated with an anticipated growth of the global population of up to 9.6 billion by 2050 [1]. Given this, as well as the large amount of arable fertile land, ammonia and fertilizers in Ukraine will always be a strategic commodity that determines the economic security of the state.

Almost all modern units for ammonia synthesis, including those operating in Ukraine, are complex energy-technological complexes with capacity of 450 thousand tons per year, with many branches and interrelations between them [2]. Under such circumstances, even a slight change in the values of technological parameters in a separate unit or at a facility may cause significant economic losses due to the impact of external disturbances. One of such units of aggregates of series...
AM-1360, operating in Ukraine, is the secondary condensation unit. In this unit, the circulating gas (CG) is cooled in the evaporators of the absorption-refrigeration units (ARU), while the regeneration of cold and separation of condensed ammonia occurs in the condensation column. Such a unit operates under conditions of a constant change in the external heat load on evaporators and is characterized by instability. As a result of this, a temperature of CG cooling \( \Theta_{\text{CG}} \) varies in a rather wide range from – 8 °C to 5 °C [3]. According to available studies, an increase in this temperature even by 1 °C decreases energy efficiency of the synthesis aggregate due to an increase in the consumption of natural gas by the additional steam boiler by 307.3 thousand mm\(^3\) per year. This steam boiler enables obtaining high-pressure water vapor (10.5 MPa), which is used to drive a centrifugal compressor of nitrogen-hydrogen mixture (NHM) and CG compression [4]. That is why minimization of the CG cooling temperature mode in evaporators of ARU through creation of high-quality automated adaptive system of optimal control becomes especially relevant for improving energy efficiency of ammonia production.

2. Literature review and problem statement

Construction of a high-quality automated adaptive system for the optimization of the operation mode of a control object under modern conditions is solved using a systems approach [5]. From the perspective of this approach, solution to the problems on minimization (optimization) of the CG cooling temperature mode and on increasing economic efficiency of production requires creation of a mathematical model of the evaporator.

The evaporator operates under conditions of seasonal and daily changes in external heat load, which causes uncertainty of the basic relation parameter of the mathematical model – a heat transfer coefficient. That is why numerical assessment of such an uncertainty requires development of the automated adaptive system for parametric identification of heat exchange processes in the evaporator under existing operating conditions.

The purpose and the place of the mathematical model are illustrated visually by the generalized structure of the adaptive system of optimization of operation mode of the control object, which is shown in Fig. 1 [6].

![Generalized structure of adaptive system for the optimization of operation mode of control object](image)

As regards the evaporator, a problem on the synthesis of an algorithm for the minimization of CG cooling temperature under certain restrictions can be in general shown in the following way:

\[
\phi(x, y, z) \rightarrow \min (\text{extr}) \Rightarrow x^{\text{opt}}.
\]

(1)

\[
x = F(y, z).
\]

(2)

where \( \phi \) is the objective function; \( x, z \) are the vectors of coordinate in accordance with the state of the object and disturbances of certain dimensionality; \( Y \) is the vector of control influences; \( F \) is the operator of the mathematical model of an evaporator.

Evaporators are pipe-casing heat exchangers of the immersion type with U-shaped pipes. CG is cooled in the pipe space due to ammonia, which boils in the inter-pipe space. A peculiarity of the process of liquid ammonia evaporation (refrigerant) is connected to the fact that it arrives to the evaporator with water admixtures. To extract water, the process of phlegm drainage out of the evaporator is implied [7]. In this case, insufficient phlegm drainage causes water accumulation in the evaporator. The latter leads to a decrease in ammonia concentration, an increase in pressure and temperature mode of cooling and, consequently, a decrease in cold production. Excessive drainage causes a loss of refrigerant, which decreases cold production and temperature mode of cooling. Thus, phlegm consumption \( M_t(t) \) is one of the main control vectors that determines the optimal state vector \( x^{\text{opt}} \). However, in periods there is virtually no information as for determining of quantitative dependence of influence of consumption \( M_t(t) \) on efficiency of the heat exchange process, and therefore, temperature mode of CG cooling. This absence is caused by a wide use of ARU with small low cold productiveness. In such units, phlegm is periodically drained and the impact of this process on the cost of production is not so significant [8]. For ARU with high cold productiveness, of more than 3 MW, which are used in ammonia production, this effect can be quite significant. Solution of the problem of determining of quantitative dependence of the influence of control vector \( M_t(t) \) on optimal vector of state \( x^{\text{opt}} \) requires construction of the mathematical model and implementation of identification heat exchange processes of the evaporator.

Another feature of evaporators, as well as of the secondary condensation unit in general, lies in operation condition, in particular constant changes at the external heat load inlet as a result of application of primary condensation of air cooling of the CG flow at the previous stage. In this case, temperature of CG and ammonia concentration in CG at the unit’s inlet varies respectively in the ranges of 35–45 °C and 9–12 % by volume, and therefore at the ARU evaporator inlets. It was found that in the previous research into condensation columns that an increase in this concentration affects not only an increase in heat load, but also an increase in heat transfer coefficient due to formation of additional condensation thermal resistance [4]. In this case, uncertainty of the latter can be numerically evaluated by statistical methods when there is information about the magnitude of voluminous ammonia concentration in CG at the evaporator inlets at certain moments of time \( \Delta t_{\text{SG}} \).

The easiest way to resolve this uncertainty would be the application of an automatic analyzer. However, application of this method is prevented by the conditions of measurement,
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in particular presence of ammonia in the form of vapor-liquid mixture and too high CG pressure. In this regard, it is significant that in existing synthesis units, measurement of ammonia concentration in CG is carried out using periodic lab tests only once a day. Under such conditions and at existing daily fluctuations of atmospheric air temperature, this method causes complete uncertainty of ammonia concentration in CG at the inlets of the evaporators. This leads to impossibility of providing for real-time identification of heat processes in description of the mathematical model of the evaporator, and therefore the necessary correction of the optimal vector of state \( \mathbf{x}^o(t) \). Thus, the problem of identification of processes of the mathematical model is complicated by the presence of interconnected uncertainties, the solution of which requires numerical assessment.

An analysis of the stated above indicates that numerical estimation of uncertainty can be performed by constructing a mathematical model. For this, it is possible to use the existing database of lab tests for a certain period of time on ammonia concentration in CG at the outlet of the primary condensation unit, and therefore at the evaporator inlet.

The primary condensation unit, which includes air cooling apparatuses and the separator for condensed ammonia separation make up a stochastic object. A change of ammonia concentration in CG at its outlet takes place under conditions of constant influence of random disturbing factors (atmospheric air temperature and humidity). This causes the random nature of pressure \( P_e \) and primary condensation temperature \( \Theta_{PC} \), which, as it is known, determine the amount of concentration \( a_{NHI}^{IN} \) at the outlet of the unit, and therefore at the evaporator inlet. In the end, parameter \( a_{NHI}^{IN} \) due to this influence has a probabilistic character [9]. Under such conditions, to solve the problem of numerical evaluation of uncertainty of ammonia concentration in CG, it is most advisable to use the statistical method of mathematical modeling, sufficiently tested under practical conditions [10]. However, in this publication, like in the others [11], the method of statistical modeling is used for numerical evaluation of uncertainty of only one parameter. In this case, numerical estimation of uncertainty of ammonia concentration at the evaporator inlet \( a_{NHI}^{IN} \) influences uncertainty of heat transfer coefficient \( K \). However, information on numerical evaluation of related uncertainties was not found in literature and requires development of a separate algorithm of research in terms of possibility of its application for creation of an adequate mathematical model.

Thus, the established relationship of uncertainties of heat transfer coefficient and ammonia concentration in CG at the inlet and numerical estimation of uncertainties acquire special significance in the overall process of creation of an automated system for operative obtaining of the mathematical model of the evaporator.

To accomplish the set aim, the following problems are to be solved:
- based on the data of industrial operation, to study efficiency of heat exchange processes of the evaporator with determining of its basic indicator – heat transfer coefficient;
- to establish in the process of solution of the problem of identification of the mathematical model of the evaporator the equation for numerical evaluation of uncertainty of heat transfer coefficient and condensing thermal resistance coefficient;
- to establish the equation for numerical evaluation of uncertainty of ammonia concentration in CG at the outlet of the primary condensation unit, and therefore at the evaporator inlet, and analyze the possibility of using the equation for identification of the heat exchange process of the evaporator at the existing relationship of this concentration and condensation thermal resistance;
- to develop algorithmic support for solving the problems of identification and operative creation of the mathematical model of the evaporator with determining of the technical structure of the automated system for implementation.

4. Materials and methods for studying condensation units

The studies were conducted on the sections of primary and secondary condensation of the industrial synthesis unit of AM-1360 series by the method of passive experiment [12]. Obtaining of information about parameters of functioning of these sections was carried out using the microprocessor information and control complex TDC-3000 (USA) of the central control point and laboratory analyses.

The composition of circulating gas was determined through laboratory analyses by the generally known technique, considered in detail [3]. In this case, due to the fact that under existing industrial conditions, sampling for laboratory testing was made only once a day, frequency of collection of all the other data on operation parameters was also once a day. Numerical evaluation of uncertainties was carried out at two stages. At stage 1, the equation for calculation of ammonia condensation in CG at the outlet of the primary condensation unit was established. At stage 2, efficiency of the heat exchange process with establishment of equations for calculation of heat transfer coefficient was determined.

4. 1. Technique for numerical evaluation of uncertainty of ammonia concentration in circulating gas

Sampling of up to 100 modes is previously formed by the database of the information and control complex TDS-3000. Frequency of getting of concentration \( a_{NHI} \) to the extreme quantum \( v \) is determined from the plotted distribution histogram. Assigning probability \( P_x=0.95 \) that during \( N \) observations, variable \( a_{NHI} \) will be found at least one time both in the upper and lower quanta, distribution parameter \( \lambda \) is calculated from somewhat converted Poisson formula:

\[
P_x = \left(1-e^{-x}\right)^2.
\]

On condition that data collection period \( \tau=24 \) h according to lab tests and frequency \( v \) according to the histogram, average frequency of getting \( \delta \) of variable \( a_{NHI} \) to the extreme quantum per unit of time is determined from equation:

3. The aim and objectives of the study

The aim of present research is to create an automated system for identification of the heat exchange process of evaporators ARU and operatively obtain its mathematical model. This will provide for a possibility to develop an automated adaptive system of minimization of temperature operation mode of the evaporator, and therefore increase energy efficiency of the secondary condensation unit in ammonia production.
\[ \delta = \nu / \tau. \]  

(4)

Complete time \( T (h) \) and the required number \( N \) of observations will be derived from the following equations:

\[ T = \lambda / \delta; \]  

(5)

\[ N = T / \tau. \]  

(6)

Evaluation of quality of obtained information was verified based on satisfaction of a condition for a measurement error, which should not go beyond the range of measurement of technological parameters.

Reproducibility of the process (homogeneity of variances in the sampling) was verified by the Cochrane criterion, according to which this process should be considered reproducible.

Process stationarity was verified by the Fisher criterion, according to dependency (21):

\[ F = \frac{N_m S_a^2}{\sigma_a^2}, \]  

(12)

where \( i=1-k \) is the number of series, into which experimental data in the sampling were divided; \( j=1-m \) is the number of experimental data in each series; \( a \) is the ammonia concentration in CG.

Calculation value \( G_c \) was compared to table value \( G_t \) at the number of freedom degrees \( k, m \) and level of significance of 5 %. In case \( G_c < G_t \), the process should be considered reproducible.

The resulting value of \( F_t \) was compared to table value \( F_m \) at the number of degrees of freedom \( (k-1) \) and \( (m-1) \) and significance level of 5 %. The process is considered stationary if \( F_t > F_m \).

The hypothesis about normality of empirical distribution was verified by goodness-of-fit test, according to which this hypothesis is accepted in case of satisfaction of the following conditions for random asymmetry \( A \) and excess \( E \):

\[ |A| \leq \sqrt[3]{3} \sigma(A), \]  

(15)

\[ |E| \leq \sqrt[3]{3} \sigma(E). \]  

(16)

Random asymmetry and excess, as well as theoretical variances of asymmetry \( \sigma(A) \) and excess \( \sigma(E) \), are calculated from the following formulas:

\[ A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i - \bar{a})^3, \]  

(17)

\[ E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i - \bar{a})^4 - 3, \]  

(18)

\[ \sigma(A) = \frac{6(N-1)}{(N+1)(N+3)}, \]  

(19)

\[ \sigma(E) = \frac{24(N-2)(N-3)}{(N+1)^2(N+3)(N+5)}, \]  

(20)

where \( \sigma_a \) is the root-mean-square deviation of the input parameter relative to the average one.

Numerical estimation of ammonia concentration in CG at the outlet of the primary condensation unit was carried out from for preliminarily established functional dependence:

\[ a_{n+1} = f(P_{G, \Theta_{PC}}). \]  

(21)

Determination of quantitative characteristics for this dependence was performed using the methods of correlation and regression analyses. Verification of the regression equation was carried out using the Fisher criterion according to the following variance ratio:

\[ F_r = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2}. \]  

(22)

In this regard, residual variance \( \sigma^2_r \) is determined from equation:

\[ \sigma^2_r = \frac{1}{N-b-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(a_i - \bar{a})^2, \]  

(23)

where \( b=2 \) is the number of factors; \( a_i^* \) is the magnitude of concentration by the resulting regression equation according to dependence (21); \( u \) is the observation for a certain mode.

The resulting value was compared to table value \( F_{m, n} \) at the number of degrees of freedom \( N-1 \) and \( N-b-1 \) and significance level of 5 %. The regression equation makes sense if \( F_r > F_{m, n} \).

4.2. Determining efficiency of heat transfer process in evaporators

Efficiency of the heat transfer process was assessed by heat transfer coefficient \( K_e (W/m^2\cdot K) \) from the following equations, sufficiently tested under practical conditions:

\[ K_e = \Phi_O / F \cdot \Delta \Theta_{MLD}, \]  

(24)

\[ \Phi_O = M_e \cdot C_e^2 (\Theta_{ICG} - \Theta_{ICG}) + M_G \cdot C_e^2 (\Theta_{ICG} - \Theta_{ICG}) + M_e \cdot r \cdot C_e^2 (\Theta_{ICG} - \Theta_{ICG}). \]  

(25)
where \( \Phi_0 \) is the cold productivity, \( W; F \) is the heat transfer surface of the evaporator, \( m^2; \delta \) is the mean logarithmic temperature difference, \( °С; M_G, M_{CG}, M_C, M_V \) are the mass consumption of correspondent gas phase of \( CG \), average of ammonia condensate, condensed ammonia and vapor phase of ammonia in \( CG \), kg/s; \( C_G^0, C_G^v, C_G^a \) are the average specific thermal capacities according to gas phase of \( CG \), ammonia condensate and ammonia vapor, \( kJ/(kg·K) \); \( h^a \) the average heat of ammonia condensation, \( kJ/kg; \Theta_{CG}, \Theta_{CG}^\alpha \) are the temperatures of \( CG \) at the evaporator inlet and outlet respectively; \( \Theta_C, \Theta_{CG} \) is the volumetric \( CG \) consumption, \( nm^3/s; a_{Ni}^{in}, a_{Ni}^{out} \) are the concentrations of ammonia vapor in \( CG \) at the inlet and at the outlet respectively, \( \% \) by volume. 

To assess differences between the estimated and actual indicators of heat exchange efficiency, total thermal resistance \( R_T^\alpha = (m^2·K/W) \) was determined from the formula:

\[
R_T^\alpha = \frac{1}{R_T^\alpha} = \frac{1}{a_{ip} + \frac{1}{a_p}}, \tag{27}
\]

In this case, the value of thermal efficiency coefficients from the circulating gas (pipe space) \( a_p \) and boiling ammonia (inter-pipe space) \( a_{ip} \) was determined by the generally known equations of Krausold and Kupriyanov, accepted at design. Basic equations for calculation of thermal efficiency coefficients have the form:

\[
\alpha_p = A\cdot W_p^{0,8} \cdot d_{iw}^{-0,2}, \tag{28}
\]

\[
\alpha_{ip} = 2,2\cdot q_p^{0,7} \cdot P_{ip}^{0,21}, \tag{29}
\]

\[
A = 16,28 \cdot (\lambda_{CG}/\rho_{CG})^{0,98} \cdot (\rho_r/0,73)^{0,94}, \tag{30}
\]

where \( W_p \) is the specific velocity of \( CG \) per unit of surface in the pipe space, \( m^3/s; d_{iw} \) is the internal diameter of pipes, \( m; q_p \) is the specific thermal flow, \( W/m^2; \lambda_{CG} \) is the thermal conductivity of \( CG \), \( W/(m·K) \); \( \rho_{CG} \) is the dynamic viscosity of \( CG \), Pa·s; \( \Pr \) is the Prandtl criterion.

5. Results of research into numerical evaluation of uncertainties

Based on the results of experimental research, sampling by 100 and 15 operation modes was formed in accordance with the primary condensation unit and the evaporator of the secondary condensation unit, some of which are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 2 shows the histogram of ammonia concentration distribution in \( CG \) \( a_{Ni}^{in} \) at the outlet of the primary condensation unit for sampling by 100 modes. Analysis of the distribution histogram indicates that frequency \( 1 < \frac{1}{0,08} \). Then for probability \( P_x = 0,95 \) according to formula (3), parameter \( \lambda = 3\cdot68 \). According to the existing information collection period \( T = 24 \) h, duration \( T \) of collection of experimental data in accordance with equations (4) and (5) will be 1,115 hrs. According to formula (6), \( N = 50 \) mode will be obtained within this time, that is, 50 days is enough to obtain the sampling, and the array can be updated monthly.

### Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mode numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG at outlet of primary condensation unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption ( V_{CG} ) ( 10^{-3}, )</td>
<td>1  2       3   4   5*  6*  7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ( P_{CG} ) MPa</td>
<td>22.1 22.0 22.2 22.0 22.7 22.4 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ( \Theta_{CG}, °С )</td>
<td>28  36  29  30  25  29  22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration, ( % ) by volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{Ni}^{in} )</td>
<td>55.7 56.0 55.6 56.8 57.2 56.2 56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{Ni}^{out} )</td>
<td>18.9 18.9 19.2 17.6 18.8 19.5 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{CG}^{in} )</td>
<td>8.4  8.3  8.7  8.8  8.0  7.7  8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{CG}^{out} )</td>
<td>6.9  6.9  6.7  6.8  6.9  6.8  7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{CG}^{out}^{*} )</td>
<td>10.1 9.9  9.8  10.0 9.1  9.8  8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at evaporator inlet ( \Theta_{CG}, °С )</td>
<td>16  23  15  18  13  18  18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at evaporator outlet ( \Theta_{CG}, °С )</td>
<td>-5 -1 -8 -6 -3  4  -6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG consumption at evaporator inlet ( V_{CG} ) ( 10^{-3}, )</td>
<td>319.6 316.2 321.7 315.5 311.7 320.0 317.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG Pressure ( P_{CG}, MPa )</td>
<td>23.5 23.0 23.0 22.7 23.5 23.8 24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure of refrigerant boiling ( P_{Ar}, MPa )</td>
<td>0.19 0.25 0.17 0.20 0.20 0.25 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of refrigerant boiling ( \Theta_{Ar}, °С )</td>
<td>-13 -8 -15 -13 -8 -2 -12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigration concentration at the inlet ( \gamma_{CG}^r, kg/kg )</td>
<td>0.989 0.991 0.993 0.995 0.997 0.996 0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlegm consumption ( M_{CG}^{in} ) t/h</td>
<td>0.902 1.158 0.541 0.465 0.102 0.142 0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of refrigerant at the inlet ( M_{Ar}^{in} ) t/h</td>
<td>15.995 19.174 16.885 17.507 10.878 10.410 17.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of liquid refrigerant at the inlet ( \Theta_{Ar}^{in}, °С )</td>
<td>26 28 29 27 20 25 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * – only one ARU was in operation
Fig. 2. Histogram of ammonia concentration distribution in CG at the outlet of the primary condensation unit for 100 modes

Comparative analysis of the ranges of measurements of the sampling’s parameters showed that the range of measurement errors prevails. Separate generalized results of verification of reproducibility, stationarity and normality of empirical distribution, observed for concentration $NH_3$, are presented in Table 2. In this case, the sampling was divided into four data series at three averaging in each series ($i=4$, $j=3$).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Numeric value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean value of concentration</td>
<td>$\bar{a}_0$</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum sample variance for a separate series</td>
<td>$\sigma^2(a)$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of variances</td>
<td>$S^2_q$</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane criterion</td>
<td>calculation</td>
<td>$G_c$ 0.4491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>table</td>
<td>$G_i$ 0.6841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility variance</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_q$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual variance</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_i$</td>
<td>0.2641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher criterion</td>
<td>calculation</td>
<td>$F_c$ 0.8164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>table</td>
<td>$F_i$ 8.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>asymmetry</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excess</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition for theoretical variances</td>
<td>asymmetry</td>
<td>$3\sqrt{\sigma^2(A)}$ 0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excess</td>
<td>$5\sqrt{\sigma^2(E)}$ 2.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of parameter relatively to mean</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_o$</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual variance</td>
<td>$\sigma^2_i$</td>
<td>0.4358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher criterion</td>
<td>calculation</td>
<td>$F_o$ 1.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>table</td>
<td>$F_{xx}$ 1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it is seen from Table 2, the process on the selected period of time is quite reproducible and stationary, and empirical distribution almost obeys the normal law.

The results of calculations by equations (24)–(30) are brought to Table 3, in which the mode numbers correspond to numbers in Table 1.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mode number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold productivity $\Phi_{CS}$, MW</td>
<td>4.59 5.53 5.01 5.24 3.38 3.23 5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average consumption of ammonia condensate $M_{CS}$, t/h</td>
<td>19.11 16.78 18.9 18.04 16.18 17.78 13.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal efficiency coefficient $\alpha_P$, W/(m$^2$·K)</td>
<td>1310.3 1581.6 1360.5 1453.2 1069.3 1085.3 1448.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal efficiency coefficient $\alpha_F$, W/(m$^2$·K)</td>
<td>3917.3 3889.6 3932.1 3779.7 3783.9 3915.8 3929.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total thermal resistance $R_T$, ·10$^4$, (m$^2$·K)/W</td>
<td>8.2631 6.2330 6.4841 6.4540 5.1315 6.9836 5.3671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient $K_E$, W/(m$^2$·K)</td>
<td>541.6 660.4 610.1 625.7 584.2 533.2 673.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Table 3 shows, heat transfer coefficient under actual conditions $K_E$ is on average by nearly 1.8 times lower than coefficient $K_P=1130.4$ W/(m$^2$·K) calculated according from the equations (28–30), accepted at design and total thermal resistance $R_T$ at the level of 0.000356 (m$^2$·K)/W. Such a mismatch, according to existing research [3], can be caused by the presence of additional condensation thermal resistance.

### 6. Discussion of results of research into numerical estimation of uncertainties of heat transfer coefficient and thermal load

Obtained calculation indicators in Table 3 indicate that there is a non-random dependence between general thermal resistance coefficient $R_T$ and average consumption of ammmoniac condensate $M_{CS}$. Based on results of processing of these indicators by the method of least squares, the following equation was obtained:

$$R_T = (0.0956 \cdot M_{CS}^2 - 2.5111 \cdot M_{CS} + 21.081) \cdot 10^{-4}. \quad (31)$$
According to equation (31), root-mean-square deviation of calculation values relative to experimental value is 1.5·10⁻⁴ (m²·K)/W, and approximation error does not exceed 14 %.

Based on the results of processing of experimental data on ammonia concentration $a_{NH}$ in CG (Table 1) using the software package Statistica, the equation, which takes the following form, was acquired

$$a_{NH} = 22.068 - 0.6272 \cdot P_e + 0.05245 \cdot \Theta_e. \quad (32)$$

Results of the equation (32) verification for adequacy by the Fisher criterion are brought to Table 2 and indicate that it describes the process adequately enough, and approximation error is up to 6 %.

This approach to numerical evaluation of uncertainties $R_e^2$ and $a_{NH}^2$ makes it possible using the above method (algorithm) to make periodic adjustments to total thermal resistance and thermal load at the evaporator inlet taking into consideration changes in ammonia concentration in CG. Under such conditions, it is possible to identify the heat exchange process on the whole.

The final verification of possibility of application of the proposed identification algorithm was carried out by solving the equations of the mathematical model, in which a description of uncertainties is determined by a number of assumptions. They include the following: ammonia vapor saturation in the whole volume of the inter-pipe space, heat of hydraulic losses is negligible, uniform distribution of ammonia concentration in the volume of boiling liquid, the absence of formation of non-boiling area in the volume of inter-pipe space of the evaporator, average logarithmic CG temperature distribution. At such uncertainties, mathematical description of the evaporator is formed by the known equations of heat exchange and of material and energy balance. The basic equations take the following form:

$$\Phi_0 = M_f \cdot \nu + M_f^{OUT} \cdot \nu^{OUT} - M_X \cdot \nu^X, \quad (33)$$

$$M_X \cdot \nu^X = M_f \cdot \nu + M_f^{OUT} \cdot \nu^{OUT}, \quad (34)$$

$$M_f^IN = M_f + M_f^{OUT}, \quad (35)$$

$$\Phi_{IP} = \alpha \cdot \nu \cdot \nu^{IP} \cdot \left(\Theta_{W}^{IP} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right), \quad (36)$$

$$\Phi_{IN} = \alpha \cdot \nu \cdot \nu^{IN} \cdot \left(\Theta_{W}^{IN} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right), \quad (37)$$

$$\Phi_{OUT} = \nu^{OUT} \cdot \left(\Theta_{W}^{OUT} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right) / R_f, \quad (38)$$

$$\Theta_{CG} - \Theta_{PR}^f = \frac{\left(\Theta_{W}^{CG} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right) - \left(\Theta_{W}^{CG} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right)}{\ln \left(\Theta_{W}^{CG} - \Theta_{PR}^f\right)}, \quad (39)$$

where $M_f, M_f^{OUT}, M_f^{IN}$ are the mass consumptions of phlegm, ammonia vapor at the outlet and liquid refrigerant at the inlet respectively, kg/s; $\nu, \nu^{OUT}, \nu^{IN}$ are the enthalpies of phlegm, ammonia vapor at the outlet and liquid refrigerant at the inlet respectively, kJ/kg; $\Theta_{W}, \Theta_{W}^{OUT}, \Theta_{W}^{IN}$ are the weight concentrations of phlegm, ammonia vapor at the outlet and liquid refrigerant at the inlet respectively, kg/kg; $\Phi_{IP}, \Phi_{IN}, \Phi_{OUT}$ are the thermal flows through the wall of the pipes, from the inter-pipe and pipe space respectively, W; $F_w, F_{IP}, F_{IN}$ are the average surfaces of the wall, inter-pipe and pipe space respectively, m²; $\Theta_{CG}, \Theta_{PR}^f, \Theta_{PR}^W, \Theta_{PR}^{r_1}, \Theta_{PR}^{r_2}, \Theta_{PR}^{r_3}$ are the average temperature of CG and of the wall from the side of the pipe space, boiling refrigerant and of the wall from the side of the inter-pipe space respectively, °C.

Presented equations (24)–(39) along with the formulas for calculation of thermo-physical properties of substances and equilibrium relationships constitute the entire mathematical model of the evaporator. The possibility of application of this model to solution of the problem of parametric identification at the above uncertainties was carried out by verification of the model for adequacy to the actual heat exchange process. Table 4 presents separate results of calculation of objective performance indicators of the evaporator in accordance with the developed identification algorithm. According to the conducted studies, it is possible to distinguish five basic stages in this algorithm:

- sampling formation by evaluation of the quality of obtained information;
- calculation of values of magnitudes $\Phi_0, M_f, M_g, a_{NH}, a_{IP}, a_{IP}^f, \Delta g_{MLD}, K_e, R_e^2$ based on the obtained experimental data;
- determining of functional dependence $R_e^2 = f(M_g)$ and numerical estimation of concentration $a_{NH}^2$ from equation (32);
- calculation of objective performance indicators of the evaporator (heat transfer coefficient, CG temperature at the outlet, boiling temperature of the refrigerant, cold productivity);
- calculation of computation error by the model compared with the experimental data.

### Table 4: Calculation indicators of operation modes of ARU evaporator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Mode numbers*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia concentration at the inlet $a_{NH}$ % by vol.</td>
<td>9.67 10.15 9.66 9.84 9.14 9.54 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold productivity $\Phi_0, MW$</td>
<td>4.64 5.56 5.04 5.28 3.31 3.26 5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient $K_c$, W/(m²·K)</td>
<td>576.05 629.04 579.74 603.54 541.19 544.47 681.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling temperature of refrigerant $\Theta_{PR}^f$, °C</td>
<td>-14.35 -10.09 -16.51 -14.08 -8.06 -2.87 -16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG temperature at the outlet $\Theta_{CG}$, °C</td>
<td>-4.8 -1.32 -7.57 -5.89 -2.39 4.03 -4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total thermal resistance $R_e^2$, (m²·K)/W</td>
<td>7.125 6.812 7.412 6.941 5.831 6.058 4.746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: * – mode numbers correspond to numbers in Tables 1, 3

The software using the presented identification algorithm and creation of the mathematical model was imple-
Considering this, the structure, and all the necessary calculations are performed in software environment Matlab. As a result, control algorithms will become possible to provide for solution of the problem of minimizing the temperature mode of the ARU evaporator of the secondary condensation unit. This approach makes it possible to use TDC-3000 as a “closed” type with installed software. That is why it cannot be significantly modernized. Solution of the set problem of construction of the mathematical model in real time scale and adaptation of the models to a situation with uncertainty is only possible through the supplementing of the existing control system with available hardware-software tools of the “open” type.

Information and control complex TDS-3000, existing at ammonia synthesis aggregates of AM-1360 series, operating in Ukraine, covers both the field and the technological production control level. It includes tools for collection, processing and storage of technological information and tools of the human-machine interface. Information exchange is performed using communication devices. However, it should be noted that TDC-3000 is a control complex of the “open” type with installed software. That is why it cannot be significantly modernized. Solution of the set problem of construction of the mathematical model in real time scale and adaptation of the models to a situation with uncertainty is only possible through the supplementing of the existing control system with available hardware-software tools of the “open” type.

Fig. 3 shows the variant of combining TDC-3000 with the proposed automated system for identification and creation of the mathematical model of the ARU evaporator. This approach makes it possible to use TDC-3000 as a source of operational technological information for filling the data and knowledge base. It becomes possible by using OPC (Open Platform Communications) technology, which enables us to get all required information by using the client-server data access method [13]. The function of clients in the system is performed by the SCADA system and software environment Matlab. In this approach, the SCADA system provides for the function of the human-machine interface, and all the necessary calculations are performed in software environment Matlab [14]. As a result, control algorithms will be supplemented with new data regarding the mathematical model, which will make it possible to provide for solution of the problem of minimizing the temperature mode of the ARU evaporator of the secondary condensation unit.

Further studies can be directed to development of the algorithm for minimizing the temperature mode of CG cooling with determining of the optimal vector of status \( x^{opt}(t) \) and control influence \( Y^{opt}(t) \). Considering this, the structure, shown in Fig. 3, must be supplemented by feedback for implementation of the control function after calculations by the mathematical model through reconfiguring regulators based free programming controllers.

### 7. Conclusions

1. Based on the results of experimental research, indicators of effectiveness of heat exchange process of the evaporator, such as heat flows, coefficients of thermal efficiency and heat transfer were determined. It was established that actual heat transfer coefficient is by almost 1.8 times lower than it was designed by the project. This is due to underestimation of the process of ammonia condensation from CG, which creates additional condensing thermal resistance.

2. The identification of heat exchange process of the evaporator was performed, by the results of which the equations for calculation of numerical estimation of uncertainty of heat transfer coefficient and total thermal resistance were established. It was shown that these equations unlike generally accepted ones, take into account condensation thermal resistance in the heat exchange process. The equation of material and energy balances takes into consideration a change in concentrations of refrigerant at the inlet and of boiling liquid in the inter-pipe space. It creates conditions for determining of the optimal consumption of phlegm of the evaporator for minimizing the temperature mode of CG cooling in the evaporator.

3. Using the method of statistical modeling based on the data of passive experiment, the equation for determining of ammonia concentration in CG at the evaporator inlet was established. This uncertainty is of probabilistic nature, which is caused by seasonal and daily fluctuations in air temperature and humidity. Possibility of application of this
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equation for identification of heat exchange process at existing relationship of this concentration and condensation thermal resistance was proved.

4. Algorithmic support for solving identification problems and operative obtaining of the mathematical model of the evaporator was developed. The use of this algorithm allows us to determine current parameters of an object taking into consideration uncertainties and to construct an adequate model of the evaporator in real time scale. It creates conditions for formation of control actions on the part of the control system in regard to an object. The technical structure of the automated system, which is adapted to the existing information system of the industrial unit of ammonia synthesis, was determined.
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