1. Introduction

The cultivated land in the Republic of Kazakhstan is 272.5 million hectares, including 21.4 (8.8%) million hectares for arable land. Grain crops occupy 13.5 million hectares, including wheat – 105 million hectares, rye – 0.07 million hectares.

The Republic of Kazakhstan is a major producer of spring wheat grain, including strong and hard varieties that are raw materials for making high-quality bakery, confectionery products, the best varieties of pasta and cereals.

The main grain producers in the world are the USA, China, and India, which in 2010 produced 47.1% of the world gross grain harvest, including China – 20.1% (479.7 million tons), the USA – 16.2% (401.7 million tons), and India – 10.8% (267.8 million tons). For per capita production, the countries are distributed in a different order. More than one ton of grain per capita is traditionally produced by the United States (1,213 kg); in China, this figure is only 321 kg, in India – 213 kg. At the same time, it exceeds one ton in Australia (1,741 kg), Hungary (1,653 kg), Canada (1,575 kg), France (1,049 kg), and other grain-producing countries.
Grain production in Kazakhstan is an export-oriented industry with more than 30% of the harvest delivered abroad. However, in recent years, the decline in the quality of wheat has seriously affected its competitiveness. This is confirmed by the data from the Food Corporation: until 2011, the grain harvest in Kazakhstan consisted almost entirely of high-quality wheat. In 2012–2014, the share of third-class grain at elevators was 70%, in 2015–65%, and in 2016–only 45%.

In the world, 776.5 million tons of wheat grain were produced in 2021 over an area of 221.9 million hectares, with a yield of 35 quintals per hectare. The world leaders in wheat yield are the following countries: Germany–75.4 dt/ha, France–68.0, Egypt–64.0, China–57.7 dt/ha. In 2021, the areas occupied by wheat were distributed in the following order: India–31.4 million hectares, Russia–28.7, China–23.4, USA–14.9, Australia–13.0 million hectares. The total share of these countries in 2021 in the world production of wheat grain was 42% [1].

The quality of planting material in Kazakhstan and around the world has deteriorated significantly. According to scientists, sowing with low-yielding seeds reduces the yield of wheat by 30%. Thus, the average yield per hectare in 2019 was 12.4 quintals, while in 2017–14.4 quintals, in 2018–15.1.

The most important stage in the technological operations of grain production is its post-harvest processing. According to preliminary information, post-harvest processing accounts for 35–40% of the total cost. The intensity of grain harvesting always exceeds the capacity of technological equipment for the preliminary and primary processing of grain. As a result, freshly harvested grain accumulates at the sites while undergoing self-heating resulting in quality loss. In the northern regions of the country, grain harvesting lasts for 3–4 weeks. Due to climatic conditions, the humidity and weed content of the incoming grain is in the range of 14–24%, 10–16%. The temperature condition inside bulk grain during temporary storage is almost never checked. Clogged and moist grain is spoiled.

In the absence of adapted storage facilities, the use of accepting and ventilation compartments with devices for receiving, active ventilation, and self-unloading would solve the task of ensuring the safety of grain and seeds, both during temporary and long-term storage. There is a large body of research into improving the technological processes of active ventilation and subsequent unloading with aerogrooves as part of the KZS-25Sh, KZS-25B assemblies, etc. Modern receiving departments for grain are equipped with aerogrooves of both open and closed types, with one or more air supply channels. However, existing shortcomings do not make it possible to use aerogrooves more widely. Among the significant disadvantages of aerogrooves are uneven unloading over time, high power consumption, and increased dust emission in the area of their operation.

Bringing the grain of post-harvest processing into a stable state that ensures its safety, without the use of active ventilation operations, is impossible. Active ventilation operations are necessary during the period of temporary storage in bulk at adapted sites or containers equipped with technical devices for air distribution. Since one of the most energy-intensive processes in grain production is the drying of grain, the development and implementation of energy-saving technologies for bringing it to the required condition is the most important task at present.

Increasing the share of grain production using technologies that allow effective productivity of grain crops, ensuring safety without loss of quality during transportation of storage, is relevant. The introduction of advanced technologies and the improvement of processes would contribute to the financial stability of agricultural producers and ensure food security. In this regard, work on the search for more advanced technologies and equipment for active ventilation and cleaning of grain from light impurities is relevant.

2. Literature review and problem statement

Drying grain in the sun in the field is the best method of conservation but it increases the risk of losses due to birds, rodents, and insects. In addition, harvesting an unripe crop can lead to losses due to mold. Reducing such losses is one of the top priorities.

There is a growing trend of drying with microwaves [2] but there are also conflicting opinions about the dangers of such treatment.

In the global community, there is a trend in the popularization of healthy, natural food. The world’s population tends to buy and use less processed, more natural products. As a result, the question arises about the price of processing. Many studies report results on the high cost of convective drying in favor of drying with ultra-high frequencies.

Researchers are working on the use of ultrahigh-frequency radiation. Paper [3] considers the process of heat and moisture exchange during drying using ultra-high frequencies. The result of the cited study is the conclusion that when considering the processes of moisture and heat exchange within one grain, it is necessary to take into consideration the temperature gradient. The systems of equations and temperature distribution plots given in [4, 5] cannot be used in the calculations of grain drying using disinfection but can be used in drying without disinfection and additional moisture of the grain. As a result of research into using ultra-high frequencies during grain drying, a system of algebraic equations and transfer functions describing heat and moisture exchange in the grain layer was derived [6]. The disadvantage of the cited papers is the unsuitability of formulas for additional processing processes. There is a problem of calculations of the processes of heat and moisture exchange during grain storage, self-heating, and unevenness of the layer of grain masses.

The disadvantage of the method of microwave drying is the high cost of this method. If a field with a static amplitude distribution is excited in a microwave chamber, then uniform heating of the stationary material that completely fills the chamber volume is impossible [7]. Despite the great variety and wide range of possibilities that modern dryers have, dryers remain the most problematic place of modern production for the storage and processing of grain.

The enterprises use four main methods of convective drying of grain [8]: high-temperature drying, high-temperature drying using active ventilation (two-stage drying), a combination of high-temperature and low-temperature drying, low-temperature drying by active ventilation.
Of the listed methods of heat treatment, the most common is the method of high-temperature drying of grain crops. The principal difference of this method is that the heating, drying, and cooling of the processed product occurs in one drying plant [9]. A serious disadvantage of high-temperature drying of grain is the opposite directions of gradients of moisture content and temperature during the drying process. The temperature gradient, in this case, prevents moisture from moving from the central layers of the grain to the aleurone layer. The use of high-temperature drying of grain in combination with active ventilation is based on the intensification of the process. Intensification occurs by increasing the efficiency of using thermal diffusion of moisture, the flow of which in the process of cooling the grain coincides with the flow of moisture removed due to the diffusion of moisture. In this case, a distinctive feature of the process course is that high-temperature drying stops at a humidity of up to 2% exceeding the standard.

As a result, there is a thermostating of the grain and a redistribution of the moisture content gradient value in the volume of the grain with subsequent drying at active ventilation units. Thus, the increase in productivity in the operation of the dryer is due to the previously unused reserve of thermal burners. The increase in productivity is also due to the independent drying of the grain mass with its heat (induced by high-temperature drying) for a long time at low temperatures during the thermostating process. With such treatment, the differences in the gradient of moisture content in the volume of the grain are neutralized, the moisture content field is leveled, and the magnitude of the volumetric-tense state in the plant tissues of the grain is reduced. This feature of the process can be especially useful when working with strong and valuable wheat, as well as with corn grain, which is subject to intense cracking, especially in the process of heat treatment using high temperatures [10]. It was established that the throughput of the periodic grain installation is a function of the capacity of the deposition hopper, the speed of contact mass transfer, the number of cycles, and the unevenness of the initial moisture content of the grain. It was calculated that the capacity of the deposition hopper is equal to the product of the original unevenness of drying and the capacity of the drying chamber, divided by the contact mass transfer rate. An economic inspection of grain drying with unequal initial humidity was carried out in [11].

There are also oscillating methods of drying grain, which make it possible to reduce the cost of heat for drying. These modes provide for periodic cooling of the grain, which makes it possible to increase the temperature of the drying agent and reduce heat consumption. However, a periodic cooling of the grain with unheated air often reduces the effect of the oscillating regime. The pulse mode, unlike the oscillating one, is characterized only by a decrease in the supply of liquid fuel to the dryer and cooling of the grain by an amount close to the theoretical one, which reduces heat loss and increases drying efficiency. Mathematical methods for calculating the temperature and duration of exposing the grain to a weakly heated drying agent are proposed in [12].

Paper [13] reports the results of research into the development of an active ventilation unit and provides a classification of types and bunkers of active ventilation.

It is shown that the selection of the height of the ventilated zone is important for the efficiency of drying and cleaning grain masses from dust. However, the issues related to the reduction of unit costs with active ventilation remained unresolved. The reason for this may be objective difficulties associated with the design and energy costs for mixing the grain mass and blowing. There are many options for overcoming these difficulties but all of them are intended for large storage facilities and are not suitable for low-capacity enterprises. An alternative option is to design an active ventilation unit with high efficiency by using mathematical analysis. The above allows us to assert that it is advisable to conduct a study to investigate and search for optimal structural parameters and drying modes during active ventilation.

3. The aim and objectives of the study

The purpose of this study is to design a statistical model of active ventilation of the grain layer with high humidity providing for the selection of the optimal ratio of the height of the blown zone. This would make it possible to reduce specific energy costs.

To accomplish the aim, the following tasks have been set:
- to substantiate and select rational modes of the active ventilation process for implementing them in the proposed design of the installation for active grain ventilation;
- to substantiate the height of the chamber.

4. The study materials and methods

The scheme of the experimental installation is shown in Fig. 1. The installation consists of housing 1, cover 2, capacitor 3, suction fan 4, discharge fan 5. Discharge fan 5 is designed to supply heated air to the intergrain space through a gas distribution pipe 9. Heater 6 is designed to heat the air that is supplied through the pipeline 8 to the installation. Inside housing 1, there is a suction spiral tube 7 (made of metal-plastic with an inner diameter of 25 mm and an outer diameter of 32 mm). The airflow blown through blowing fan 5 is heated by heater 6 and then piped to a gas distribution pipe with mesh surface 9 for drying the grain (grain, pos. 10). The airflow temperature is measured after heater 6 at point T1 and at the suction coil at point T2. The airflow velocity is regulated by a thermostat, which is installed after the heater in the main pipe. The air velocity for each experiment was measured using the anemometer testo 416 (serial number 03621531, made in Germany, measuring range – 0.6–40 m/s).

The airflow heated by heater 6 is fed into the gas distribution pipe with mesh surface 9 (the pipe is made of stamped mesh with a diameter of 0.1 m, the diameter of the hole is 2 mm). Further, the airflow penetrates the intergrain space at a speed of 0.6 m/s, 1.0 m/s, 1.4 m/s (according to the planning matrix). Next, the air is sucked in by spiral tube 7 and removed from the unit. In the suction spiral, on the inside, there are drilled slits measuring 2×15 mm on the side of the gas distribution pipe.

Temperature control is carried out using a thermostat; the airspeed is regulated by a gate that is installed on the suction side of the blower fan.
4.1. Research methods

The principle of operation of the installation (Fig. 2-4): the wheat grains weighing 74 kg, moistened to a humidity of 18%, are loaded into housing 1 of the installation. At a height of 0.6 meters, 74 kg of the grain reach cover 2. Cover 2 is then closed tightly. Spiral suction pipe 7 is in the grain. After that, heater 6 is turned on (to obtain the specified temperature regimes of 65 °C, 75 °C, 85 °C). Supercharger fan 5 and parallel suction fan 4 are then switched on.

Fig. 2 shows a photo of an experimental installation for drying grain with active ventilation.

The air velocity and airflow were measured using the portable digital anemometer testo 416 (serial number 03621531, made in Germany). This windmill-type anemometer is a digital measuring instrument used to measure airflow velocity.

The experiment is conducted according to the planning matrix.

- the diameter (cylinder) of the silo $D=0.5$ m, height 1,200 mm;
- the diameter of gas distribution pipe 9 with a mesh surface $d=100$ mm.

In this study, the optimal height of the blown area was selected in order to increase the efficiency of the installation.
The height of the blown zone is 0.6; 0.9; 1.2 m. The diameter of the spiral suction pipe is 32 mm. The pitch of the spiral should be four times less than the height of the blown zone. For example, if the height is 0.6 m, then the pitch of the spiral is 0.3 m, etc. Slits measuring 2×15 mm in the spiral suction pipe on the side of the gas distribution pipe are drilled every 5 cm along the length of the spiral (the length of the spiral pipe is 6 m). The dimensions of the slits are selected in such a way that the grains do not pass into them. Blowing duration is t min until the humidity reaches 12 %.

The moisture content of the grain entering the elevators from fields for storage typically varies from 13–14 % to 17–18 %. Therefore, in the experiments, we varied the initial humidity from 10–11 % to 17–18 %.

Response functions: $y_1$ – specific energy consumption, kJ/kg.

Input parameters:

- $x_1$ – air temperature, $t$=65–85 °C;
- $x_2$ – air velocity, $v_2$=0.6–1.4 m/s;
- $x_3$ – distance (the height of the blown zone), $l$=0.6–1.2 m.

The value of the relative humidity of the air is neglected due to the insufficiency of its change during the period of mass harvesting and the complexity of its regulation.

5. Results of studying the active ventilation process in the designed installation

5.1. Determining the optimal process conditions

In order to determine the rational parameters of the process of active ventilation of grain in the tank, our studies were conducted in accordance with the rotatable method of experiment planning, which makes it possible to obtain the most accurate mathematical notation of processes.

In accordance with the idea of a step-by-step search, the experiment was conducted in several stages. The number of stages and operations in each of them depended on the results of the previous stage and the ultimate goal of the research. The ultimate goal of this study was to determine the optimal conditions for the course of the process.

The following main factors were chosen: $X_1$ – the air velocity $v_{air}$ (m/s) at the inlet to the intergrain space; $X_2$ – air temperature, °C; $X_3$ – distance (the height of the blown zone), m. The selected factors are compatible and are not correlated with each other.

Table 1 gives the main characteristics of the experimental plan and the results of our experimental studies. The total number of experiments, $N=18$; the number of experiments in the center of the plan, $N_0=6$; the number of factors, $k=3$; the leverage of the “star” point, $r=1.682$.

Specific energy cost, kJ/kg, was selected as the response function $Y_1$.

The studies were conducted as follows. Fig. 1 shows an experimental setup for determining the effects of air velocity $v_{air}$ (m/s), the duration of grain treatment with air $t_0$ (min), on the technological efficiency of the process of active grain ventilation with drying if necessary.

Before conducting experiments on the experimental study of the technological efficiency of the installation for active grain ventilation, the parameters of the working agent – air – were adjusted.

The results of our experimental studies on AGV (active grain ventilation) in the container are given in Table 2. For treating our data from experimental studies, the STATISTICA 10 software package was used.

The regression equation in physical variables after the removal of insignificant coefficients is:

$$Y_1 = 2498.58 - 69.0472 \cdot X_1 - 53.0884 \cdot X_2 - 146.28 \cdot X_3 + 363.488 \cdot X_1^2 - 663.4533 \cdot X_1 \cdot X_2 - 262.3 \cdot X_1 \cdot X_3 + 0.365153 \cdot X_2^2 + 3.29849 \cdot X_3 \cdot X_3 + 213.386 \cdot X_3^2.$$
The task of optimizing the process of active ventilation of grain in the tank was to search for conditions under which specific energy costs are minimal, with a balanced ratio of air velocity and the height of the blown zone (Fig. 5).

The task of optimizing the process of active ventilation of grain in the tank was to search for conditions under which specific energy costs are minimal, with a balanced ratio of air velocity and the height of the blown zone (Fig. 5).

\[
Y = b_0 + \sum b_i x_i + \sum b_{ik} x_i x_k + \sum b_{ii} x_i^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \(b_0\) is a free term of the equation equal to the average response, provided that the factors under consideration are at average, “zero” levels; \(i, k\) – factor indices;

- \(b_i\) – coefficients for linear terms;
- \(b_{ik}\) – coefficients of two-factor interactions, showing how much the degree of influence of one factor changes when the value of other changes;
- \(b_{ii}\) – coefficients of quadratic effects that determine the nonlinearity of the output parameter from the factors under consideration.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of entry</th>
<th>Variable parameter</th>
<th>Output parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>encoded</td>
<td>physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(X_1)</td>
<td>(X_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>–1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–1.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 4
Dependence of specific energy cost \(E, \text{kJ/kg}\) on the height of the blown zone \(h, \text{m}\) and air temperature \(T, ^\circ\text{C}\)

### Fig. 5
Dependence of specific energy cost \(E, \text{kJ/kg}\) on temperature \(T, ^\circ\text{C}\), and air velocity \(V, \text{m/s}\)

### Fig. 6
Response surface of specific energy cost depending on the air velocity and the height of the blown zone

According to the formula:

\[
Y = b_0 + \sum b_i x_i + \sum b_{ik} x_i x_k + \sum b_{ii} x_i^2, \tag{1}
\]
The system of equations for determining \( b_0 \) and \( b_1 \) to calculate specific energy costs takes the form:

\[
1.51 = b_0 + 138.45 b_1; \\
-0.46 = b_0 + 221.82 b_1,
\]

where 138.45 is the best value for \( y_1 \) (%); 221.82 is the worst value for \( y_1 \) (%).

Hence \( b_0 = 4.7815 \) and \( b_1 = -0.0236 \).

There are one-way restrictions on output parameters of the form \( Y \leq Y_{\text{max}} \) or \( Y \geq Y_{\text{min}} \). An acceptable form of converting \( Y \) to \( d \) is an exponential dependence:

\[
d = \exp[-\exp(-Y)]. \tag{2}
\]

where \( Y = b_0 + b_1 Y \).

Particular functions take the form:

\[
d_1 = \exp[-\exp(4.7815 - 0.0236 y_1)]. \tag{3}
\]

The values of the particular desirability functions for all points in the plan, defined from (1) to (3), are given in Table 3.

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment No.</th>
<th>( X_1 )</th>
<th>( X_2 )</th>
<th>( X_3 )</th>
<th>( d_1 )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.376313</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.376699</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.279602</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.381641</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.529702</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.156151</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.128019</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010617</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.422907</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.109356</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.467537</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.133141</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.682</td>
<td>0.277623</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>0.083424</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.035435</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.035435</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.035435</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.035435</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The generalized desirability function \( D \) takes a minimum value in experiment 8; it is 0.011.

5.2. The rationale for chamber height

Taking into consideration the results of the construction of response surfaces according to the dependence of specific energy costs on the height of the blown chamber, air temperature, air velocity (Fig. 5, 7), it can be concluded that the rational option would be to take the height of the blown chamber at the level of 1 m. Fig. 9 shows a ternary plot that makes it possible to determine the optimal ratio of three factors to the resulting factor. Thus, the required height of the chamber for the modes of the optimal active ventilation process is justified.

![Fig. 7. Ternary plot of specific costs \( E, \text{kJ/kg} \), depending on the height of the blown chamber, the speed of the supplied air, and its temperature.](image)

The ternary plot in Fig. 8 shows the dependence of specific costs \( E, \text{kJ/kg} \) on airflow velocity \( v, \text{m/s} \), temperature \( T, \text{°C} \), and chamber height \( h, \text{m} \). Since we have restrictions on the temperature, the optimal height of the chamber is 75% of the maximum 1.2 in the plan, i.e. 0.9–1 m.

Thus, the assumptions about the rationality of the height of the blown chamber of 1 meter are confirmed.

![Fig. 8. The response surface of the grain temperature depending on the time of the grain at different chamber heights.](image)

The temperature inside the grain was measured at different chamber heights to determine the uniformity of the warm air supply and the uniformity of the distribution in the intergrain space. The surface shows that when heat air is supplied, the grain is heated over 15–25 minutes to a temperature of 40 °C.

6. Discussion of the study results on determining the structural parameters and drying modes by the method of active ventilation

Based on our study, it can be concluded that the height of the blown zone is important for active ventilation.

The selected height of the blown zone is more effective because the residence time of grains in the warm airflow is
longer. Consequently, energy costs at the proper speed and temperature would be minimal (Fig. 5).

Our unit differs from existing installations by the original design of the system for supplying hot and removing the heat carrier used. In particular, a vertical gas distribution pipe with a mesh surface ensures an even distribution of hot heat carrier over the entire height of the installation, and the spiral shape of the suction pipe ensures quick and effective removal of the spent heat carrier along the entire height of the blown zone (Fig. 3).

The results of this study are reproducible and checked for the adequacy of the model; the desirability function has been built, which confirms the sufficiency of our calculations.

When conducting AGV, it should be considered that the incorrect choice of a rational technique and insufficient consideration of the state of the grain lead to an adverse effect on grains. The temperature of the working air during drying must be monitored and its rational value determined depending on the conditions for ensuring an even distribution of heated air. The temperature of the grain when drying by active ventilation should not exceed 45 °C. Overheating the grain leads to a deterioration in the quality of gluten up to its complete denaturation; the activity of enzymes decreases (Fig. 8).

When cooling grain by active ventilation, the air temperature is usually taken to be equal to the ambient temperature. With artificial cooling, the air must have low humidity since moisture from the intergrain space passes into the air and is extracted into the atmosphere.

Thus, according to [14], in the USA, only 1.8 % of corn in grain is dried using low-temperature drying; using high-temperature drying and cooling inside the dryer – 43.5 %, while up to 50 % of all grain is dried using a high-speed method that includes high-temperature drying followed by thermostating and active ventilation.

Studies [15–17] report data on an increase in the productivity of dryers when working with this technology by 40 %, fuel savings of up to 20–25 %, and 30 % of electricity, specific fuel consumption is reduced to 3,350–3,770 kJ/kg of evaporated moisture, cracking and the number of broken grains are reduced. There are data [10] on the use of high-speed two-stage drying technology in Russia where the implementation of new solutions and technological procedures made it possible to increase the productivity of grain dryers by 33–38 % when the grain with an initial temperature of 50–60 °C and humidity of 16.0–16.5 % was cooled after a 6–8-hour aging at active ventilation units in a layer up to 2 m thick, at an air filtration rate of 0.1 m/s. The specific drying costs for mine-type direct-flow and recirculation dryers, according to the data, vary in the range of 4,750–6,100 and 3,900–5,150 kJ/kg.

The results of our studies show that the use of active ventilation in the processing of grain masses is an effective method while there is an issue related to reducing energy costs. However, the currently operated plants for active grain ventilation do not take into consideration all the complexities of the process and do not make it possible to comply with rational processing regimes, which affects the quality of the grain. Therefore, the task to devise scientifically based modes of active grain ventilation and its equipment structure is of great importance.

7. Conclusions

1. It has been established that with an insufficient amount of air pumped by a fan into the bulk grain, the grain cools very slowly while sweating and moistening of the upper horizons of the grain mound are often observed. The higher the moisture content of the grain, the more air must be supplied to the grain mound. This means that the air velocity (m/s) is the main parameter in cooling the sites of self-heating of the grain mass during storage.

2. The following installation parameters should be considered optimal: the height of the blown zone is 1 m, while the airspeed is 1.2 m/s. In turn, in order for specific energy costs to be minimal, the air temperature should be about 80 °C.
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