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1. Introduction

The use in energy equipment of fuel with variable composition [1, 2] instead of the certified constant formulation leads to an increase in the number of transient modes. A change in calorific fuel capacity, amount of products of combustion, their thermophysical properties predetermines the non-stationary processes of heating-cooling the elements of power equipment design, as well as non-stationary processes of heat transfer through the heat exchange surfaces. As a consequence, in the processes of energy conversion a more important role is played by its variable accumulation – release in all equipment components in contact with the combustion products. The accumulation of energy (heating – cooling) affects inertness in the processes of heat transfer and, therefore, manageability of the process of energy transformations. In turn, the magnitude of energy accumulation is determined by temperature of the elements of design. Thus, determining the non-stationary temperature and, as a result, the nonstationary magnitude of energy accumulation, is an important element in solving the problem on optimal control of power equipment under conditions of using a non-certified fuel of variable composition.

2. Literature review and problem statement

Analytical solution is a reliable and universal means to calculate parameters of the nonstationary heat exchange process. But at the same time, its application is cumbersome and covers only particular cases. As a rule, they are confined by the description of the process of heating-cooling the bodies of simple shapes: infinite plate, cylinder, and sphere. Such solutions have been known for a long time, but up to now they have been applied only for a similar kind of processes. Only the object of application has changed in favor of contemporary equipment as, for example, in [3], where the problem on cooling a display is solved. Article [4] examines a process of cooling the plate with an internal heat source. The difference is that the examined plate is a multilayer one. A special feature of paper [5] is the application, instead of the frequently utilized Fourier expansion, the Trefftz functions. In this case, only the approximate solution is obtained. The problem of nonstationary heat transfer is of practical interest and it does not have the analytical solution.

Numerical methods are more universal and can be used to solve any problems on heat transfer. From the above enumeration of simple shapes of bodies, a plate appears the most important one. It has two surfaces, which corresponds to the process of heat transfer. Furthermore, for the case of coaxial cylinders (a pipe) at the ratio between outer and inside diameters of d₂/d₁<2, heat transfer through the cylindrical wall with an error less than 4 % can be described using the model for a flat wall. Such a relationship of diameters corresponds to the majority of variants of tubular heat exchangers. Heat transfer is predetermined by taking account of the combined processes of heat exchange at the surfaces of a plate. These
processes in general are difficult to describe. But in many practically important cases this description is confined by assigning a generalized (integral) magnitude – heat-transfer coefficient. Here arises a contradiction between relative simplicity of problem statement on the nonstationary heat transfer through an infinite plate and the use of sophisticated universal numerical methods. Thus, [6] proposes a transition from the distributed model to the concentrated in the form of a system of ordinary first order differential equations. Its considerable effectiveness is emphasized in this case relative to the finite-difference and finite-element methods. A well-known fact is indirectly highlighted here of the need to maintain certain ratios between the size of computational grid and the calculation step by time: in order to provide for the necessary accuracy, the computational grid is lessened with a simultaneous decrease in step by time to ensure stability of the numerical calculation. This leads to an avalanche-type increase in the number of computations and to the accumulation of errors in calculations. For a practical application in the engineering calculations, in most cases, it is sufficient to consider a problem in the one-dimensional setting. This is justified in view of the smallness of thickness of the heat-transmitting surface in comparison with its other geometric dimensions. A confirmation of this can be found, for example, in [7]. It is demonstrated here that, when solving a nonstationary inverse problem on heat transfer, good results are obtained when using the one-dimensional model in particular.

A solution in the form of functional dependence is one of the advantages of analytical methods over numerical. It is necessary to obtain the solution only once. It can be subsequently used for any arguments. In this case, in the form of this dependence, the interrelation of all phenomena, taken into account in the model, is reflected analytically. Results of numerical calculations lack these properties. In order to somewhat improve this situation, it is possible to use the models and, accordingly, numerical solutions based on them in a generalized (made dimensionless) form. The obtained advantage manifests itself most vividly in complicated cases. Thus, in [8], when examining the magnetohydrodynamic flows, using the similarity transformations, it was possible to reduce the Navier-Stokes equations to ordinary differential equations. Such a result is possible due to the representation, when rendering dimensionless, all terms of equations in the uniform form and obtaining the possibility of running a fractional analysis. In [9], it was possible in this way to obtain approximated analytical solutions of the systems of ordinary differential equations. However, this approach, as a rule, is not applied to the simpler problems, although it can also yield a positive effect.

Therefore, the nonstationary problem on heat transfer can be solved with the aid of numerical methods. A large number of similar practically important processes can be described using relatively simple one-dimensional models. The problem is in the mismatch between simplicity of the model and complexity of its proposed numerical realization. When employing simple models, additional possibilities to generalize the results of solution by representing the model in a dimensionless form are not used.

### 3. The aim and tasks of the study

The aim of present work is to develop a simplified discrete analog, designed to calculate the process of nonstationary heat transfer through the infinite plate (one-dimensional model). This will make it possible:

- to represent the discrete analog and, therefore, results of the calculations in the dimensionless form with the possibility of their appropriate generalization;
- to obtain the discrete analog that makes it possible to carry out calculations with the required accuracy on the rough computational grids (with a small number of computational nodes) and at large steps in time retaining the stability of numerical calculations.

To achieve the set aim, the following tasks are to be solved:

- to substantiate the choice of method for constructing the simplified discrete analog;
- to construct a discrete analog in the dimensionless form;
- to prove working ability of the developed analog and evaluate the accuracy of calculations based on it by their comparison with the analytical solutions for the known particular cases;
- to determine the limits in the applicability of the analog at a decrease in the magnitude of computational grid and an increase in the steps of calculation in time.

### 4. Method for constructing the discrete analog

Underlying the solution of the set problem is the control volume method (CVM) [10, 11]. It combines the benefits of other numerical methods and is deprived of their many shortcomings. Thus, the desired discrete analog in CVM is built as easy as in the finite-difference method. The desired parameters are calculated in the isolated points (nodes). In this case, with the aid of appropriate profiles, similar to the method of finite elements, we consider possible character of change in the calculated parameter between the nodes of a computational grid. Moreover, a discrete analog is built based on the compliance with conservation laws in each particular control volume. This makes it possible to obtain physically noncontradictory results on the grid of any roughness, which, in contrast to the finite-difference method, for example [12], allows using computational grids of small size. The merits of CVM contribute to its application at present by many authors [13, 14].

The use in the development of a discrete analog of the a priori-profiles of change in the desired magnitude, similar to an exponential, agrees with the use of profiles in the form of exponents in the method of integral coefficients [15]. It should be noted that CVM underlies the construction of such a universal programming product as SolidWorks.

[10] emphasizes the development of universal two- and three-dimensional analogs. Only the principle of their construction is explained on the example of one-dimensional analog, but the possibility of solving the one-dimensional variant of the problem based on dimensionless magnitudes is not examined.

Universalism also manifests itself in the fact that even a one-dimensional analog includes the source term that considers sources or sinks of heat fluxes inside the heat exchange surfaces. In the overwhelming majority of cases, in the thermal-power equipment, the sources and the sinks of energy are found outside the body, through which heat exchange is conducted. Therefore, for the simpler algorithm of sources, the term should be excluded from consideration.
Within the framework of CVM, the examined space, similar to the method of finite elements, is split into separate small elements – control volumes. In a three-dimensional orthogonal coordinate system, these are the “orthogonal” elements, in the Cartesian – parallelepipeds, in the one-dimensional model – layers (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. Calculation scheme for the one-dimensional discrete analog](image)

Inside these layers are the nodes, which, similar to the finite-difference method, are assigned with the values of calculated magnitudes. Geometric characteristics of the calculated region represented in this way are: \( \delta x \) are the distances between the grid nodes; \( \Delta x \) are the dimensions of layers (elements), into which the region is split. Positions of the nodes inside different layers may differ. The very dimensions of layers may be different, too. That is why, in a general case, both \( \delta x \) and \( \Delta x \) are different from each other. Furthermore, in general, \( \delta x \neq \Delta x \). In the considered case, at constant thermophysical properties of heat exchange surfaces by their thickness, in order to simplify the discrete analog, let us assume:

\[
\delta x_i = \text{const}; \quad \Delta x_i = \text{const}; \quad \delta x = \Delta x.
\] (1)

In CVM, on the boundaries of calculated region, there are the nodes of computational grid (points “1” “N” Fig. 1). They are surrounded by incomplete boundary control volumes. Taking into account (1), their magnitude in the examined case is \( \Delta x / 2 \). This must be considered when recording the discrete analog for nodes on the boundaries of calculated region. Furthermore, for these nodes, in contrast to the internal, it is necessary to consider boundary conditions at the corresponding surfaces. Thus, discrete analogs for the internal and boundary nodes do not differ, but they must have common character of their record for the possibility of their regular solution.

Let us examine a discrete analog for the internal points. Based on common constructions from [10] for internal point “I”, taking into account parameters in points “W” and “E” (Fig. 1), it is possible to write in order to calculate temperatures:

\[
a_P \cdot T_p = a_E \cdot T_E + a_w \cdot T_w + b,
\] (2)

where

\[
a_P = a_E + a_w + \rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}, \quad a_E = \frac{\lambda}{\delta x}, \quad a_w = \frac{\lambda}{\delta x},
\]

\[
b = \rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \cdot T_w^0.
\] (3)

Here \( \rho \), \( c \), \( \lambda \) are the density, heat capacity and thermal conductivity of material of the heat exchange surface; \( \Delta t \) is the step of calculation by time; \( T_P, T_E, T_W \) are the calculated (current) temperatures in the corresponding points; \( T_w^0 \) is the value of temperature in point \( P \) from the previous step of calculation by time. At the first step of calculation is the value from the initial condition (initial temperature profile).

Let us substitute (3) into (2), divide all terms of the equation by \( \lambda / \delta x \). As a result, taking into account (1), we shall obtain

\[
2 + \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \cdot T_p = T_E + T_w + \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \cdot T_w^0.
\] (4)

where \( a = \lambda / (\rho \cdot c) \) is the coefficient of thermal diffusivity.

Expression (4) is the one-dimensional discrete analog in dimensional form for solving the problem on nonstationary thermal conductivity. In order to bring it to the dimensionless form, let us assume that thickness of the heat exchange surface is equal to \( 2l \). Let us multiply second term in brackets by \( (2l)^2 / (2l)^2 = 1 \). Designate in this expression \( \Delta x / 2l = \delta x / 2l = \Delta \). It is the dimensionless (relative) thickness of layer of the discrete analog. We shall obtain, taking into account further transformations:

\[
\frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \frac{(2l)^2}{\Delta x} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(\Delta t \cdot \Delta)} (\Delta)^2.
\] (5)

Here \( \Delta (\Delta t) \) is the dimensionless step of calculation by time – a step of a change in the Fourier number.

After carrying out analogous transformations for the last addend in the right side of expression (4), we shall obtain:

\[
2 + \frac{1}{(\Delta t \cdot \Delta)} (\Delta)^2 \cdot T_p = T_E + T_w + \frac{1}{(\Delta t \cdot \Delta)} (\Delta)^2 \cdot T_w^0.
\] (6)

Expression (6) is the dimensionless discrete analog for internal points of the computed region.

Let us examine a discrete analog for the node of computational grid on the boundary of calculated region, for the certainty in point “1” on the left boundary (Fig. 1). In order to compute temperature in point \( P_1 \), it is necessary to consider boundary conditions to the left of it, as well as value in point \( E_1 \). As the boundary conditions, let us examine conditions of the third kind as the most general ones. Based on general constructions from [10], it is possible to write for the boundary point “1”

\[
a_p \cdot T_p = a_E \cdot T_E + b,
\] (7)

where

\[
a_p = a_E + \alpha_t + \rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}, \quad a_E = \frac{\lambda}{\delta x};
\]

\[
b = \alpha_t \cdot T_{amb} + \rho \cdot c \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \cdot T_w^0.
\] (8)

Here \( \alpha_t \), \( T_{amb} \) are the heat transfer coefficient and ambient temperature from the corresponding side of a heat exchange surface.

Similar to the previous case, let us substitute (8) in (7), divide all terms of the equation by \( \lambda / \delta x \). As a result, taking into account (1), we shall obtain

\[
1 + \frac{\delta x}{\lambda} \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \cdot T_p = T_E + \frac{\delta x}{\lambda} \alpha_t \cdot T_{amb} + \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot T_w^0.
\] (9)
Let us multiply in expression (9) the terms, which contain $\delta x$, by $(2l)/(2l)=1$. The terms that contain $(\Delta x)^{2}$ shall be multiplied by $(2l)^{2}/(2l)^{2}=1$. Consider that $\Delta x/2l=\delta x/2l=\Delta x$.

Let us designate as

$$\alpha = \frac{2l}{\lambda} = \frac{B_i}{\lambda}$$

the Biot criterion from the side of node “1” of the computational grid. As a result, we shall obtain:

$$T_e = T_w + B_i \cdot T_{amb} \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0}.$$ (10)

Expression (10) is the dimensionless discrete analog for the left boundary point of computed region (Fig. 1).

Upon carrying out transformations, analogous to (7)–(10), but for the right boundary (node “n”, Fig. 1), we shall receive the dimensionless discrete analog for the right boundary point of computed region:

$$T_e = T_w + B_i \cdot T_{amb} \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0}.$$ (11)

Here $B_i$, $T_{amb}$ is the Biot criterion and ambient temperature from the side of node “N” of the computational grid.

5. Form of the discrete analog and algorithm of solution based on it

Expressions (6), (10) and (11), taken together, represent the dimensionless discrete analog for calculating the non-stationary heat transfer through a flat plate at boundary conditions of the third kind.

The algorithm of solution based on such discrete analog can be realized with the help of TDMA (Tri-diagonal-Matrix Algorithm) – the sweep method. Results of calculation are obtained in one “sweep”, without iterations, which simplifies the computation. In order to facilitate the realization of the solution algorithm, let us write discrete analog (6), (10), (11) in the index form, where the indices are counted from the left to the right boundary of calculated region (Fig. 1):

- for internal points
  $$a_i \cdot T_i = b_i \cdot T_{i+1} + c_i \cdot T_{i-1} + d_i,$$ (12)
  
  where
  $$a_i = 2 + \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2}; \quad b_i = 1; \quad c_i = 1; \quad d_i = \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0};$$

- for the left boundary
  $$a_1 \cdot T_1 = b_1 \cdot T_{2} + d_1,$$ (13)

where

$$a_1 = 1 + B_i \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2}; \quad b_1 = 1;$$

$$d_1 = B_i \cdot T_{amb} \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0};$$

- for the right boundary
  $$a_N \cdot T_N = c_N \cdot T_{N-1} + d_N,$$ (14)
  
  where

$$a_N = 1 + B_i \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2}; \quad c_N = 1;$$

$$d_N = B_i \cdot T_{amb} \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0}.$$ (15)

The solution algorithm is built based on [10] as follows.

Direct course of computation – auxiliary coefficients $P$ and $Q$ are computed:

$$P_1 = \frac{b_1}{a_1} = \frac{1}{1 + B_i \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2}},$$

$$Q_1 = \frac{d_1}{a_1} = \frac{B_i \cdot T_{amb} \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} \cdot T_p^{0}}{1 + B_i \cdot (\Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2}}.$$ (16)

Inverse course of computation – for the right boundary

$$b_N = 0. \quad \text{Consequently,} \quad P_N = 0.$$

From (16):

$$Q_N = \frac{d_N + Q_{N-1}}{a_N - P_{N-1}} = \frac{1}{2 \frac{1}{\Delta (Fo)} \cdot (\Delta)^{2} - P_{N-1}}.$$ (17)

Assume from (17)

$$T_N = Q_N.$$ (18)

and then in reverse order

$$T_i = P_i \cdot T_{i+1} + Q_i.$$ (19)

Simplicity of the obtained algorithm (15)–(19) makes the realization possible even based on Excel, to say nothing of the more powerful computational tools.
6. Evaluation of adequacy of the obtained results by the available solutions. Possibilities and constraints in the application of the obtained discrete analog

An algorithm must qualitatively and quantitatively correct reflect the processes under investigation. Given this, the estimation of physicalness and adequacy of the developed discrete analog can be carried out in two ways:

1) after appropriate transformation, by the comparison of analog itself with the exact solution in the extreme case of stationary heat transfer through an infinite flat wall (estimation of physicalness – qualitatively correct representation of the examined process);

2) by comparing the results of numerical calculations with the results of analytical solutions in a particular case of the nonstationary process of symmetrical heating (cooling) of an infinite plate (estimation of correctness of quantitative representation of the studied process).

For both these cases, there are precise analytical solutions.

1. Stationary heat transfer through a flat wall

When solving the problem on stationary heat transfer through a flat wall, the heat flux through both surfaces of the wall is assumed identical. For certainty, let us accept (Fig. 2) that the ambient temperature to the left of the wall exceeds temperature to the right, and the heat flux is directed from left to right.

![Minimal computational grid](image)

This can be written in the form:

\[ q_1 = \alpha_1 \cdot (T_{amb1} - T_{w1}) \]

is conditionally for the left side of the wall;

\[ q_2 = \alpha_2 \cdot (T_{w2} - T_{amb2}) \]

is conditionally for the right side of the wall.

Here:

- \( q_1 \) is the heat flux, respectively, entering from the environment to the left side of the wall and exiting the wall from the right side of the environment;
- \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) are the heat transfer coefficients on the left and right side of the wall, respectively;
- \( T_{w1}, T_{w2} \) is the temperature at the left and right points of the wall, respectively;
- \( T_{amb1}, T_{amb2} \) is the ambient temperature from the left and right sides of the wall.

Taking into account the condition accepted \( q_1 = q_2 \), we shall obtain:

\[ \alpha_1 \cdot (T_{amb1} - T_{w1}) = \alpha_2 \cdot (T_{w2} - T_{amb2}) \]

or

\[ \frac{(T_{amb1} - T_{w1})}{(T_{w2} - T_{amb2})} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{B_i}{B_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Here \( B_i \) are the Biot criteria for the left and right side of the wall.

The discrete analog in the form (17)–(19) is obtained for the case of nonstationary heat transfer. But at constant ambient temperatures from the left and right sides of the wall and sufficiently long course of the heat transfer process, the analog, if correctly constructed (17)–(19), must yield the result, analogous to (20). Furthermore, in the examined case, the profile of a change in temperature from \( T_{w1} \) to \( T_{w2} \) (Fig. 2) inside the wall must be of linear character, and the correctly constructed discrete analog also must reflect it.

Let us transform the analog (17)–(19) to test the feasibility of these requirements.

Let us split the examined flat wall into three layers (Fig. 2): two near-wall ones and one internal. In this case, temperatures \( T_1, T_3 \) of the analog correspond to temperatures \( T_{w1}, T_{w2} \) at the surface of the wall. Temperature \( T_2 \) is the temperature in the central layer. The near-wall layers in accordance with (Fig. 1) have a half thickness.

The choice of only three layers for the splitting is predetermined by the following considerations. The discrete analog for temperatures \( T_1 \) in central cells (17) is built with the use of temperatures in the adjacent cells \( T_{1}, T_{1+1} \) and \( T_{1-1} \). Three layers allow us to construct a similar analog for temperature \( T_2 \) taking into account temperatures \( T_{w1}, T_{w2} \). The near-wall layers make it possible to employ analogs (18), (19) for the left and right boundaries of the plate. Thus, in order to evaluate correctness of representing the process of stationary heat transfer with the help of the developed discrete analog, three calculated layers will suffice and an increase in the number of inner layers at partition adds nothing fundamentally new to the computation.

In the analog (17)–(19), magnitudes \( T^0_1, T^0_2, T^0_3 \), utilized in coefficients \( d_0, d_1, d_n \), are the temperatures in the corresponding nodes of computational grid from the previous step of calculation by time. In the computation of heat transfer process over a prolonged time interval and its reaching the stationary state, temperatures from the previous step of calculation by time must be equal to the estimated temperatures currently in the corresponding points. We obtain for the case of three layers in question:

\[ T^0_1 = T_1; \quad T^0_2 = T_2; \quad T^0_3 = T_3. \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

We shall obtain from (17) upon the substitution of expressions for all coefficients:

\[ 2 \cdot T_1 + \frac{1}{\Delta (F_o)} \cdot (\Delta)^2 \cdot T_2 = T_3 + \frac{1}{\Delta (F_o)} \cdot (\Delta)^2 \cdot T^0_3. \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Taking into account equality \( T^0_3 = T_3 \) from (21), we shall receive the equality of terms from the left and right sides of expression (22):

\[ \frac{1}{\Delta (F_o)} \cdot (\Delta)^2 \cdot T_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta (F_o)} \cdot (\Delta)^2 \cdot T^0_2, \]

and after their reduction:
2. \( T_2 = T_1 + T_3 \) or \( T_3 = \frac{T_1 + T_2}{2} \). \hspace{1cm} (23)

After carrying out analogous transformations for the analogs of left (18) and right (19) boundaries, we shall obtain:

- for the left boundary
  \[ T_1 + B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_1 = T_2 + B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb}; \hspace{1cm} (24) \]

- for the right boundary
  \[ T_3 + B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_3 = T_2 + B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb}. \hspace{1cm} (25) \]

Substitute expression for \( T_2 \) from (23) to (24) and (25).

Carry out transformations and, as a result, we shall obtain:

- for the left boundary
  \[ \frac{T_2 - T_1}{2} = B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb} - B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb}; \hspace{1cm} (26) \]

- for the right boundary
  \[ \frac{T_2 - T_3}{2} = B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb} - B_1 \cdot (\Delta) \cdot T_{amb}. \hspace{1cm} (27) \]

In expressions (26) and (27), the left sides are equal. Equate in these expressions the right sides, reduce by \( \Delta \):

\[ \frac{B_1}{B_1} \cdot (T_{amb} - T_1) = \frac{B_1}{B_1} \cdot (T_{amb} - T_3) \]

or

\[ B_1 \cdot (T_{amb} - T_1) = B_1 \cdot (T_3 - T_{amb}) \hspace{1cm} (28) \]

we receive as a result:

\[ \frac{T_{amb} - T_1}{T_3 - T_{amb}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}. \hspace{1cm} (29) \]

Comparing the expressions (29) and (20) reveals their concurrence, which confirms correct construction of the analog (17)–(19) in this part.

Let us consider the second part of the test – representation with the help of the proposed discrete analog of the form of temperature profile inside a flat wall. For this purpose, let us determine the tangents of temperature profile angles of inclination in sections \((T_1-T_2)\) and \((T_2-T_3)\):

- from (Fig. 2) for the section between temperatures \((T_1-T_2)\) taking into account (24):
  \[ \tan(\phi_{1z}) = \frac{T_3 - T_2}{\Delta} = \frac{B_1}{B_1} \cdot (T_{amb} - T_1). \hspace{1cm} (30) \]

- from (Fig. 2) for the section between temperatures \((T_2-T_3)\) taking into account (25):
  \[ \tan(\phi_{2z}) = \frac{T_3 - T_2}{\Delta} = \frac{B_1}{B_1} \cdot (T_3 - T_{amb}). \hspace{1cm} (31) \]

The equality of right sides in expressions (30) and (31) follows from (28). Hence, the left sides are equal in them:

\[ \tan(\phi_{1z}) = \tan(\phi_{2z}). \hspace{1cm} (32) \]

Thus, in the process of stationary heat transfer the sections of profiles of temperatures, represented using the analog (17)–(19), have a common point \( T_2 \) and identical angles of inclination (32). Consequently, they are one straight line. This agrees with the analytical solution and confirms correct construction of the analog (17)–(19) in this part as well.

2. Symmetrical heating of an infinite plate.

The accuracy of numerical calculations, executed with the help of the discrete analog proposed, can be evaluated by comparing their results with the available analytical solutions. The case of bilateral symmetrical heating of an infinite plate is one of a few that exist. Let us examine a variant when at the initial moment of time \((t=0)\), initial temperature in the plate is distributed evenly. Under these conditions, the proposed analytical solution takes the form of summation of a series. The indicated articles note that at \( F = 0.3 \) a series starts so rapidly converging that the temperature distribution is determined accurately enough by the first term of a series in the form:

\[ \Theta = 1 - \frac{2 \sin \mu_1}{\mu_1 + \sin \mu_1 \cos \mu_1} \cos(\mu_1 \cdot X) \exp(-\mu_1^2 F). \hspace{1cm} (33) \]

Here \( \Theta \) is the relative (dimensionless) temperature of a plate; \( X \) is the relative (dimensionless) coordinate of the point in question. It is counted from the center of the plate to its surface;

\[ \text{Fo} = (a \cdot t)/((\delta^2)) \] – Fourier number;

where \( a \) is the coefficient of thermal diffusivity; \( \delta \) is the half thickness of the plate.

Relative temperature of plate \( \Theta \) is determined by relationship

\[ \Theta = \frac{T(X) - T_0}{T_{amb} - T_0}. \hspace{1cm} (34) \]

where \( T(X) \) is the current temperature in the corresponding point of plate; \( T_0 \) is the initial temperature, evenly distributed in the plate; \( T_{amb} \) is the ambient temperature, to the magnitude of which the plate is heated.

Relative temperature of the plate changes in the range of \( \Theta \in [0...1] \).

Relative coordinate is determined from relationship \( X=x/\delta \) and changes in the range of \( X \in [0...1] \). Here \( x \) is the absolute coordinate that is counted from the center of the plate to its surface.

Magnitude \( \mu \) is the root of transcendental equation \( \cotg(\mu) = \mu/\text{Bi} \). Equation (33) is the first term of a series. Therefore, it employs \( \mu_1 \) – the first positive root of the transcendental equation.

In order to compare results of the analytical and numerical calculations, one should consider that:

- biot criterion in the analytical solution is computed for the half thickness of the plate (because of its symmetry).

However, in the numerical calculation, taking into account the possibility of solving the non-symmetrical problems, it is determined for the full thickness of the plate. Thus, it is necessary to apply relationship

\[ \overline{B_1} = \overline{B_1} = 2 \cdot B_1, \hspace{1cm} (35) \]
where \((\overline{B_i})_{an}, (\overline{B_i})_{nc}\) are the Biot criteria for the corresponding sides of the plate in the discrete analog (numerical calculation) for the case of symmetrical heating; \((\overline{B_i})_{an}\) is the Biot criterion in analytical calculations;
- the Fourier number due to the above noted reason also differs for the cases of analytical and numerical solutions. Comparing the results, which correspond to the identical moments of dimensionless time, should be conducted based on relationship:

\[
4 \cdot \overline{Fo}_{an} = \overline{Fo}_{nc}.
\]  

(36)

where \(\overline{Fo}_{an}\) is the Fourier number in the analytical calculation; \(\overline{Fo}_{nc}\) is the corresponding Fourier number in the numerical calculation.

Fig. 3 shows results of computation based on analytical expression (33) and discrete analog (12)–(14). Since the analytical calculations are carried out for the dimensionless temperature (34), then the numerical solutions are obtained based on it. Fig. 3 shows results that cover the broad range of change in the Biot criterion. In the brackets is its value for the numerical calculations based on (35). Each figure presents results for the two moments of time. Magnitudes of the \(Fo\) numbers corresponding to them are bound by relationship (36). Maximum relative error \(\varepsilon\) in the numerical results from all estimated points by thickness of the plate is given for each moment of time. All in all, 21 estimated points were considered. Relative error was determined relative to the range of change in temperature \(\Theta = [0, 1]\).

A comparison of results of the numerical and analytical calculations reveals their good concurrence. However, similar accuracy at a large number of estimated points can be attained by other numerical methods. The employed method of control volumes differs by abiding the conservation laws on computational grids of any accuracy. In order to evaluate an influence of the number of estimated points on the computation error, we performed calculations using the maximally small grids – with three nodes only (Fig. 2). The obtained results and their comparison with the analytical and numerical calculations on a larger grid (21 nodes) are given in Table 1. Here, similar to Fig. 3, the magnitudes of \(Bi\) and \(Fo\) that relate to the numerical calculations are given in brackets. The values of \(Bi\) and \(Fo\) in the analytical and numerical calculations, similar to the previous case, are bound by relationships (35), (36). The first three lines show the values of relative temperatures for \(Fo=0.4\) (0.1). In this case:
- in the first line are the temperatures in the analytical calculations;
- in the second line – in the numerical calculations on a grid with 21 nodes;
- in the third line – in the numerical calculations on a grid with 3 nodes.

The next three lines show relative errors in determining the relative temperatures, represented in the previous lines. In this case:
- in the line, designated by \(\varepsilon_{1,3}\), are the errors of results in the numerical calculations on a grid with 21 nodes relative to the analytical calculations;
- in the line, designated by \(\varepsilon_{1,3}\), are the errors of results in the numerical calculations on a grid with 3 nodes relative to the analytical calculations;
- in the line, designated by \(\varepsilon_{1,3}\), are the errors of results in the numerical calculations on a grid with 3 nodes relative to the numerical calculations on a grid with 21 nodes.

Further in the table, the order of results arrangement is analogous.

Relative errors do not exceed 3.5 % in all given points. The magnitudes of \(Bi=5\) and \(Bi=50\) are selected because of the maximal relative error received in this case. At \(Bi=0.04\) and \(Bi=0.5\), an error in the numerical solution does not exceed 1 %. The magnitudes of the noted errors do not exceed permissible values for the engineering computations (<5 %). This testifies to the applicability of the developed discrete analog for solving the problems on nonstationary heat transfer.

Let us examine an example of solving a problem on the nonstationary heat transfer. The absence of analytical solution is its special feature. Assume that at the initial moment of time body temperature and ambient temperature are in equilibrium. Let us designate it similar to the previous cases, \(T_0\). At a certain moment of time, temperature of the environment from one side of the plate rises abruptly to magnitude \(T_{amb}\). From the other side of the plate, ambient temperature remains equal to \(T_0\). We shall examine a nonstationary process of change in the temperature inside the plate during heat transfer. We shall perform the computation for relative temperature \(\Theta\) determined in accordance with (34) using the relative node coordinates of grid X. Some results for the computational grid with 21 nodes at different values of the \(Fo\) numbers are shown in Fig. 4. The value of \(Fo=0\) corresponds to the initial moment of time.
In accordance with (34), for the accepted initial data in this moment of time, the value of relative temperature in all points of the plate is $\Theta = 0$. The value of $Fo = 1.7$ models reaching the state of stationary heat transfer.

For the estimated calculations of the nonstationary heat transfer processes, of interest is the use of “rough” computational grids. Let us define a possibility of applying the method of control volumes at minimal computational grid, the same as in the case of symmetrical heating (with 3 nodes). For this purpose, let us compare results when using it to the results on a grid with 21 nodes (Table 2). The structure of data here and in Table 1 is analogous.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Fo/ε</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21}$</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{3}$</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21}$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{3}$</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{3}$</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21}$</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{3}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fo</th>
<th>$\Theta/\epsilon$</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_{21}$</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_{21}$</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{21-3}$</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors in the calculations on the “rough” grid in comparison with results on the more detailed one are insignificant. This accounts for its use in many cases. Insignificant errors on such a “rough” grid can be explained based on the following considerations:

- on one hand, the profile of change in the temperature inside the plate, both at its heating and at nonstationary heat transfer, is of exponential character. On the other hand, as noted above, to determine temperature in the nodes, they use the profiles of its change between the nodes. In a general case, the profiles can be any, including as parts of exponents.

Errors in the calculations on the “rough” grid in comparison with results on the more detailed one are insignificant. This accounts for its use in many cases. Insignificant errors on such a “rough” grid can be explained based on the following considerations:

- on one hand, the profile of change in the temperature inside the plate, both at its heating and at nonstationary heat transfer, is of exponential character. On the other hand, as noted above, to determine temperature in the nodes, they use the profiles of its change between the nodes. In a general case, the profiles can be any, including as parts of exponents.

In the considered case, in order to simplify the form of a discrete analog when using the implicit scheme of its construction, we accepted a spasmodic character of change in temperature between the nodes. Nevertheless, such a simplified character in the representation of change in the temperature profile between the nodes of a computational grid does not in general contradict the character of change in temperature in the real process. In other words, the discrete analog satisfies the physics of examined processes, which predetermines its accuracy on the “rough” computational grids;

- as it was noted above, the discrete analog is built based on abiding the conservation laws on the grids with any “roughness”. In combination with the preceding point, this also contributes to improving the accuracy of computations.

It should be noted that in a general case stability and accuracy of numerical methods depend on the relationship between the step of calculation by time and a geometric dimension in the step of a computational grid. Thus, in order to provide for the stability of computation when using the explicit scheme in the finite-difference method, $\Delta t$ is determined from relationship [10]:

$$\Delta t < \frac{c \cdot (\Delta x)^2}{2 \cdot \lambda}.$$  \(\text{(37)}\)

Upon performing the transformations, applied to (5), we obtain:

$$\frac{a \cdot \Delta t}{2 \cdot l} = \Delta (Fo) < \frac{1}{2} (\Delta)^2.$$  \(\text{(38)}\)

In the above examined case of computation in the relative coordinates with 21 nodes of computational grid.
grid and, accordingly, 20 intervals between them, we receive Δ=0.05. In accordance with (38), when applying the finite-difference method, it would be necessary to obtain Δ(Fo)=0.0012. In the performed calculations, we employed Δ(Fo)=0.005, which actually exceeds the noted boundary.

Let us examine an impact of the magnitude of step by time on the stability and accuracy of calculations. Results at different steps are given in Table 3. Calculations were carried out for 21 nodes, but, for visibility, the results are given only for the points at the surfaces and in the center of the plate. In order to compare, we took the same values of Fo=0.12 and Fo=0.26, as those used in Table 2. Taking into account Δ(Fo)=0.005, the value of temperature at Fo=0.12 was obtained in 24 steps, which is reflected in the appropriate line Δ(Fo)=0.005. The next lines contain results of the temperature calculation at the same 21 nodes and at the same moment of time Fo=0.12, but at other steps by time and, accordingly, different number of these steps (subscript): Δ(Fo)=0.015 and Δ(Fo)=0.03.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fo</th>
<th>Δ(Fo)</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors in the calculations at Δ(Fo)=0.005 and Δ(Fo)=0.03 relative to the variant at Δ(Fo)=0.005 are not indicated, though they can be computed easily. The second part of Table 3 contains analogous data, but for the moment of time Fo=0.26 at steps, corresponding by the magnitude, and their number: Δ(Fo)=0.005. Δ(Fo)=0.02 and Δ(Fo)=0.065. A comparison of the given data demonstrates maintaining the high accuracy of calculations also during the partition of the assigned time interval into a maximally small number of calculation steps.

Tables 2, 3 give the results that allow us to argue about the stability of calculations when using the “rough” computational grids and large steps by time. We, however, examined the influence of these factors separately. Of practical interest is the possibility to perform calculations with engineering precision at simultaneous influence of both factors. Based on the example of data, given in Table 4, it is possible to estimate the results of this kind of calculations when comparing to the case of detailed grid and small step by time. Results of the computation on a grid with 21 nodes and at calculation step by time Δ(Fo)=0.005 are accepted as reference. The first part of the table, as previously, considers time moment Fo=0.12. In order to reach this time at selected step, 24 steps are required. Results for this case are represented in the first line of the table. The second line contains results of the calculation on a grid with 3 nodes and at step by time Δ(Fo)=0.03, requiring 4 calculation steps to achieve the considered time. The third line contains relative errors in the rough calculations relative to those accepted as reference. The second part of the table contains analogous data for the moment of time Fo=0.26. The errors calculated demonstrate that when using the discrete analog based on the method of control volumes within the limits of engineering accuracy of computations, it is possible to employ maximally “rough” grids and large steps by time.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fo</th>
<th>Δ(Fo)/ε</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6863</td>
<td>0.1684</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ε</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.3362</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.3035</td>
<td>0.0473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ε</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The adequacy of computation on the “rough” grids can be used also in the numerical-analytical calculations of the nonstationary heat transfer processes. The thing is that the discrete analog on the grid with 3 nodes consists of the system of 3 linear algebraic equations. For the current time moment, it is possible to receive a sufficiently simple analytical solution of this system. However, the possibility of using large steps by time allows based on this solution rapid estimation of temperatures at the surfaces of a plate in the nonstationary heat transfer process of and, accordingly, heat fluxes on them. In line with the classical approach, the energy accumulated in the plate is determined by integrating the temperature profile in it. In the examined case, it suffices to find a difference in the heat fluxes at the surfaces of a plate.

7. Conclusions

1. The method of control volumes selected for the discrete analog is based on fulfilling the conservation laws on computational grids of any size, including the smallest ones. This corresponds in the best way to the set problem on developing a simplified discrete analog.

2. The use of the simplified, one-dimensional form of the record of discrete analog made it possible to represent it in the dimensionless form. Such approach contributes not only to a decrease in the number of calculations, but it also facilitates comparing their results with data of the existing analytical calculations. The latter, as a rule, are represented in the dimensionless form.

3. A comparison of results of the calculations based on the developed analog to the available analytical data demonstrates their good recurrence. Relative errors do not exceed, and they are even substantially less than the per-
missible engineering accuracy (<5%). Furthermore, this is substantially lower than the errors in determining the initial data – heat-transfer coefficients.

4. Based on the numerical calculations, using large grids and small steps by time as the reference, we evaluated stability and accuracy of calculations on the rougher grids. We demonstrated maintaining the engineering accuracy on maximally small grids (to 3 nodes) and retention of stability and accuracy at large steps by time, substantially larger than the other numerical methods permit. Such special features of the discrete analog can be used to solve the inverse problems on heat exchange.
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