IMPROVEMENT OF TANK FOR GAS TRANSPORTATION IN COMPRESSED CONDITION AND COMPARATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA

When extracting gas on the shelf, it is important to create a system for its transportation to the shore, which can be carried out by pipeline transport or special tanks in the liquefied (LNG) or compressed (CNG) condition. Given the low cost of coastal infrastructure, the possibility of changing routes and relatively low transport tariffs, it is advisable to use CNG technology. The results of the technical and economic analysis indicate the efficiency of transportation from the shelf deposits to 1 billion m³ of gas per year in a compressed state, self-propelled or non-self-propelled by barges [1, 2]. To implement the technology, a prerequisite is the availability of gas transportation tanks. At the same time, they should be characterized by low mass-dimensional indicators and be workable under certain operating conditions.

1. Introduction

When extracting gas on the shelf, it is important to create a system for its transportation to the shore, which can be carried out by pipeline transport or special tanks in the liquefied (LNG) or compressed (CNG) condition. Given the low cost of coastal infrastructure, the possibility of changing routes and relatively low transport tariffs, it is advisable to use CNG technology. The results of the technical and economic analysis indicate the efficiency of transportation from the shelf deposits to 1 billion m³ of gas per year in a compressed state, self-propelled or non-self-propelled by barges [1, 2]. To implement the technology, a prerequisite is the availability of gas transportation tanks. At the same time, they should be characterized by low mass-dimensional indicators and be workable under certain operating conditions.

2. The object of research and its technological audit

The object of research is the design features of tanks for gas transportation in a compressed state and the criteria for their comparative evaluation.

At present, there is no unified approach to the non-economic evaluation of the efficiency of transportation of compressed gas in high-pressure tanks. This is due to the variety of designs of tanks offered by manufacturers, and requires the development of new or improvement of existing methods.

To account for gas produced from wells and transported to the consumer, it is customary to use normal or standard cubic meters. Therefore, it is advisable to introduce a new criterion that would establish the connection between the amount of gas in the tank and one of its main parameters. At the same time, a prerequisite is its universality.

3. The aim and objectives of research

The aim of research is creation of the possibility of evaluation of the mass-dimensional perfection of tanks, characterized by different working pressures and design.

To achieve this aim it is necessary to perform the following objectives:

1. To analyze the design solutions and criteria for the comparative evaluation of high-pressure tanks, suitable for the formation of the cargo systems of marine vehicles.

2. To offer a universal comparative evaluation criterion and check the possibility of its use for existing and developed designs of high-pressure tanks.
4. Research of existing solutions of the problem

In recent years, EnerSea Transport LLC (USA) [3] and Sea NG Management Corporation (Canada) [4] have been most focused in developing marine compressed natural gas transportation systems. The vehicles designed by the companies are designed for transportation from 3 to 33 million m³ of natural gas. For gas transportation in smaller volumes, TransCanada Pipeline Ltd. offers separate solutions (Canada) [5].

According to the results of further analysis of the technical solutions offered by leading companies in the industry, it has been established that the use of special modules with CNG-4 composite tanks is possible for the formation of cargo systems for marine vehicles, and in particular barges [6]. The main advantage of such tanks in most cases is their relatively low mass. The liner of the composite tank is form-generating, and the main load is perceived by the winding impregnated with a bonding material. The use of a plastic liner in combination with carbon fiber allows minimizing the mass of the tank, provided that the required strength is provided, is a tangible competitive advantage. Also, the advantages of composite tanks should include a high level of safety. Thus, under the action of internal pressure, the destruction of cylinders occurs without the formation of fragments. The only significant disadvantage of any composite tank is its low resistance to shock loads.

Although the production of composite tanks is expensive, their characteristics determine the main areas of application. It is advisable to use such tanks for storing compressed gases under high pressure under operating conditions that require their frequent movement. In order to reduce gas treatment requirements, the option of vertical arrangement of tanks is optimal; it creates the possibility of removing, if necessary, the liquid condensed from natural gas. However, when mounting such tanks on barges, their own adjustments introduce restrictions on their allowable height. With this in mind, the use of tanks of the combined type (CNG-2), both in modular design [1] and in the form of a long pipe [7], can be an alternative. For all-metal cylindrical high-pressure tanks, and in particular CNG-1 cylinders, a common disadvantage are their large mass, due to the considerable wall thickness, and, as a result, the inefficient use of the strength properties of the material.

In addition to multi-layered ones, such as CNG-2, CNG-3, and CNG-4 type tanks, in order to reduce the size of gas transportation (in particular, by barges), multi-cavity tanks can also be used. Today, multi-cavity tanks (tanks, cylinders, etc.) of high pressure are known, the design of which is based on the principle of unloading the shell from high pressure on the one hand using back pressure on the other [8].

All the above types of tanks are characterized by certain advantages and disadvantages. Therefore, in order to compare and select cargo tanks, special criteria for their evaluation are introduced.

With a known mass of tanks for transporting compressed natural gas and the mass of gas transported to them, commonly known criteria are used. The most common is the ratio of the mass of the cargo in the cargo tank to the mass of the filled cargo tank (n) [9]. Also known criterion, called the «perfection coefficient» or «packaging coefficient», which is determined by the ratio of the mass of the tank to its useful internal volume, measured in liters of water. The heavier the tank, the higher its packaging coefficient. For example, the packaging coefficient is for cylinders:

- CNG-1 (carbon steel) – from 0.9 to 1.2 kg/l;
- CNG-2 (alloyed steel and ring winding) – from 0.65 to 0.85 kg/l;
- CNG-3 (aluminum liner and winding of composite material) – from 0.3 to 0.5 kg/l;
- CNG-4 (composite material) – from 0.25 to 0.55 kg/l [10].

It should be noted that these coefficients are correctly compared in conditions of equal working pressures of cylinders of various types (for example, 20 MPa).

Structurally mass or mass perfection of high-pressure tanks and cylinders are also commonly evaluated by the parameter of constructive perfection (PCP), which is a more universal energy indicator, allows to compare tanks of any shape [11]:

\[ PCP = \frac{P_d \cdot V_{id}}{M_{BST}}, \]

where \( P_d \) – design (constructive) parameter; \( P_d \) – destructive pressure; \( V_{id} \) – internal volume of the shell; \( M_{BST} \) – mass of the whole structure of the tank as a whole.

The mass perfection parameter \( W_{BST} \) has the dimension of the specific strength of the material \( \sigma_p / \rho_d \), kJ/kg. The total mass of the tank includes the mass of the power and sealing shells, the mass of fittings, flanges, coatings and other structural elements. In this case, the parameter \( W_{BST} \) itself does not depend on the shape and geometrical dimensions of the tank (cylinder). Therefore, the expression (1) can be used to compare not only the whole structure, but also the power shells, made of metals and composite materials. However, this parameter takes into account the values of not the working pressure, but destructive, does not allow to determine the amount of gas transported in the tank, according to known mass-dimensional parameters.

5. Methods of research

Despite the drawbacks caused by the use of multi-modular materials for the manufacture of tanks and the orthotropy of main indicators of the strength of composites, one of the ways to solve a number of problematic issues is to transform them from multi-layer shells into multi-cavity ones. This is achieved by separating the shells and, as a result, the formation of annular space between them. Under these conditions, both the inner and outer shells should be completely sealed. The presence of back pressure in the annular space reduces the load on the inner shell and, accordingly, its thickness.

A variant of a multi-cavity high-pressure tank is a structure formed by concentric placement of steel and composite pipes with a length limited by the features of their fixing or vehicle dimensions. The design scheme of multi-cavity high pressure tanks is proposed in [12] and has the form shown in Fig. 1.

Today, in accordance with ISO 2001, ISO 14692, DIN, ANSI, DNV, API, ASTM standards, fiberglass-reinforced
epoxy (GRE) pipes are made from 50 to 1000 mm in diameter with a standard length of 6 and 12 m. These pipes are designed for pressure up to 24.5 MPa. For the formation of pipelines are used as adhesive and mechanical connections [13]. However, they are the limiting factor in relation to maximum working pressures. The research results obtained by the authors of [14] confirm the possibility of expanding the field of use of fiberglass pipes by introducing special plug-in fixing elements into the joint structure.

In order to compare the proposed design of the tank for transporting compressed natural gas with analogues with a known mass of gas, the above-mentioned criteria \( G \) and \( \eta \) can be used. The «perfection coefficient» for tanks with a working pressure other than 20 MPa, and for multi-cavity ones is unused.

Despite the fact that, as already noted, gas is measured in normal or standard cubic meters, the new, more universal criterion is the ratio of the mass of the tank to the volume of gas transported in it, reduced to normal conditions:

\[
j = \frac{m_f}{V_{vol}}
\]

where \( m_f \) – mass of the tank, kg; \( V_{vol} \) – gas volume, n. m³.

To determine the mass of the tank, it is necessary to establish its main design parameters. In the basic version, the outer shell is made of fiberglass pipe with a diameter of 600 mm with a working internal pressure of 10 MPa, and the inner shell is made of a pipe with a diameter of 530 mm made of steel of strength group X80. The pressure in the inner shell is taken equal to 20 MPa.

According to ASTM D2992 [15], the formula is used to calculate annular stresses in a pipe made of composite pipes, which are considered as thick-walled structures:

\[
\sigma = \frac{pD - \tau_r}{2t_r},
\]

where \( \sigma \) – ring stress, Pa; \( D \) – outer diameter, m; \( p \) – internal pressure, Pa; \( \tau_r \) – wall thickness, m.

Then the required wall thickness is:

\[
t_r = \frac{pD}{2|\sigma| + p},
\]

where \([\sigma]\) – allowable value of ring stresses, Pa.

Allowable stresses are determined taking into account the properties of the material and the safety factor:

\[
[\sigma] = \frac{\sigma_y}{K},
\]

where \( \sigma_y \) – tensile strength of the composite material in a circular direction; \( K \) – safety factor.

According to [13], the properties of the pipe material and fixing element are given in Table 1. The density of the material is 1920 kg/m³. Under these conditions, the calculated wall thickness of the outer shell with a safety factor of 2.5 is 24 mm.

As for the inner shell, it should be noted that for CNG-2 cylinders, the destructive pressure of the metal liner should be at least 26 MPa [16]. In this design, the cylindrical part and the spherical bottoms are thin-walled shells. According to the Laplace equation [17] for spherical thin-walled shells, parts of which are the bottoms of a metal cylinder liner, the circular and meridional stresses are equal to each other and are defined as:

\[
\sigma_c = \sigma_m = \frac{pR}{2h},
\]

where \( R \) – radius of the middle surface of the shell; \( h \) – shell wall thickness.

For cylindrical thin-walled shells, the meridional (axial) stresses are determined by a similar relationship, and circular ones are twice as large. Moreover, since the shell is thin-walled, the radius of the middle surface can be replaced by the radius of the outer surface of the shell. Thus, for CNG-2 type cylinders, the strength of which in the axial direction is determined precisely by a metal liner, the safety factor in this direction at an operating pressure of 20 MPa will be equal to 2.6.

In terms of manufacturing the inner shell of cargo tanks from pipes of X80 strength, for which the yield strength of the base metal is at least 555 MPa, the allowable stresses will be equal to:

\[
[\sigma] = \frac{\sigma_y}{K} = \frac{555}{2.6} = 213.46 \text{ MPa},
\]

where \( \sigma_y \) – yield strength; \( K \) – safety factor.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material properties</th>
<th>Pipe and fittings</th>
<th>Fixing element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>Axial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength, MPa</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus, MPa</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear modulus, MPa</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>3471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The required wall thickness of the inner shell with regard to expression (5) is defined as:

$$t = \frac{P \cdot D}{4 \sigma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

and is 12.4 mm.

Having assumed for a steel shell a wall thickness of 13 mm, the circular stresses in it with a pressure in the annular space of 10 MPa, and directly in the shell – 20 MPa, be 203.8 MPa. In the absence of pressure in the annular space, circular stresses can reach 407.7 MPa. In this case, the safety factor will be equal to 1.3.

Considering the presence of a joint zone of spherical and cylindrical parts of the steel shell, which is characterized by the so-called edge effect, fixing the fiberglass pipe to the metal is carried out using a half-coupling with a special thread with an inserted fixing element, is mounted in this zone and acts as a ring of rigidity.

For security reasons, let’s take finally the wall thickness of the outer shell is equal to 25 mm. At the same time, the weight of one meter of the 600 x 25 pipe will be 86.7 kg, and that of the 530 x 13 pipe – 165.7 kg. The cross-sectional area of the inner pipe is 0.1994 m², and the annular space is 0.0169 m³. Accordingly, the volume of one meter of the cavity of the inner pipe is 0.1994 m³, and the annular space is 0.0169 m³.

It is possible to reduce the mass of tanks of the proposed design by using materials with better strength characteristics. Thus, according to [18], variants of the design of elements of combined type tanks, and CNG-2 cylinders in particular, made of steel X80 and 30XTCa are considered. The mechanical properties of the metal of welded joints from steel 30XTCa are much higher. The minimum value of the tensile strength is 1100 MPa, and the yield strength is 1000 MPa. For the proposed tank design, the entered criterion for mass-performance is significantly improved. For long pipes, the mass of the bottoms and half couplings is not taken into account. At the same time for a tank length of 6 m $j = 6.241$ kg/n/m³, and 12 m – $j = 6.241$ kg/n/m³. For a pipe with a standard wall thickness of 7 mm, the mass of one meter is 90.3 kg, and the internal volume is 0.2091 m³.

### 6. Research results

Returning to the expression (2), it should be noted that the volume of gas $V_{in}$ in the tank, reduced to normal conditions by its known mass $m$, is defined as:

$$V_{in} = \frac{m}{\rho_0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\rho_0$ – gas density under normal conditions.

When taking into account the compressibility of gas, namely, the ratio $PV$ of the product for methane under the specified conditions $PV$ in at 0 °C and 760 mm Hg, the authors of [6] denoted as $S(p, t)$, the expression to determine its mass in the cargo tank takes the form:

$$m = \frac{P \cdot V \cdot \mu}{R \cdot S(p, t) \cdot T_0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $V$ – internal volume of cargo tank; $P$ – working pressure in the cargo tank during transportation; $\mu$ – molar mass of the transported gas; $R$ – universal gas constant, $T_0$ – temperature under normal conditions (273.15 K).

Since, under normal conditions, natural gas can be considered as an ideal gas, its density from the Mendeleev-Clapeyron formula for an ideal gas can be defined as:

$$\rho_0 = \frac{P_0 \cdot \mu}{RT_0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $P_0$ – pressure under normal conditions (101325 Pa).

Substituting expressions (10) and (11) into (9):

$$V_0 = \frac{P \cdot V \cdot \mu}{R \cdot S(p, t) \cdot T_0} = \frac{P \cdot V}{S(p, t) \cdot P_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

For multi-cavity tanks obtained above dependence will take the form:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{P_0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P_i \cdot V_i}{S_i(p, t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $n$ – the number of individual cavities in the tank; $P_i$ – working pressure in the $i$-th cavity; $V_i$ – volume of the $i$-th cavity; $S_i(p, t)$ – compressibility of methane for the conditions of the $i$-th cavity.

For the proposed tank design, the entered criterion will depend on the length of the tank. For the purpose of comparison, its value is determined for a tank with a fiberglass pipe 6 and 12 m long and designed as a long pipe. The mass of the tank with a length of 6 and 12 m includes the mass of the cylindrical parts of the inner and outer shells, the mass of the spherical bottoms of the inner shell and the mass of the two coupling halves. For long pipes, the mass of the bottoms and half couplings is not taken into account. At the same time for a tank length of 6 m $j = 6.272$ kg/n/m³, and 12 m – $j = 6.241$ kg/n/m³. For a lengthy design in the basic version $j = 5.544$ kg/n/m³.

In the manufacture of the inner shell of a long construction of steel 30XTCa proposed criterion is significantly improved. For tanks with a length of 6 and 12 m, the decrease in the criterion $j$ is less noticeable due to the significant mass of the coupling halves.

Thus, it is optimal to perform multi-cavity tanks in the form of a lengthy design, limited by the parameters of the marine vehicle for which the criterion is introduced is $j = 3.63$ kg/n/m³. For comparison, CNG-4 cylinders manufactured by HEXAGON Lincoln USA, which are part of the TITAN 4 modules, are characterized by the parameter $j = 1.042$ kg/n/m³, and CNG-1 type cylinders manufactured by NK CO LTD Korea – $j = 5.634$ kg/n/m³.

### 7. SWOT analysis of research results

**Strengths.** The use of the proposed criterion for mass-dimensional perfection creates the prerequisites for a comparative evaluation of tanks characterized by different working pressures and design. By this criterion, multi-cavity tanks are competitive in terms of their performance in the form of a lengthy design.

**Weaknesses.** It should be noted that the design and use of multi-cavity tanks with a length of 6 and 12 m is impractical both in comparison with CNG-2 and CNG-1 tanks.
Opportunities. For the perception by producers and consumers of the introduced criterion for evaluation of the perfection of high pressure tanks, it is necessary to conduct its comparative analysis with those used today in promoting products in various industries.

Threats. Despite the fact that the gas metering in normal cubic meters is carried out during the extraction, transportation and consumption of natural gas, the use of the proposed criterion may be limited to objects of the specified industries.

8. Conclusions

1. According to the research results, a multi-cavity high-pressure tank of increased working tank has been created using fiberglass and steel pipes and special connections with a plug-in fixing element. It is established that the optimum is its implementation in the form of a lengthy design, limited by the parameters of the marine vehicle.

2. To evaluation of the perfection of high-pressure tanks, and in particular when transporting compressed natural gas, a new universal criterion is proposed. It is determined by the ratio of the mass of the tank to the volume of gas transported in it to normal conditions. The criterion establishes the relationship between the amount of gas transported and the main parameter of the tanks, taking into account their inclusion in the freight systems of vehicles. Its versatility is confirmed by the use of the proposed multi-cavity tanks for evaluating the perfection of various versions and comparison with CNG-1 and CNG-4 vessels.
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