# VARIABILITY OF QUANTITATIVE TRAITS IN WINTER TRITICALE IN THE CENTRAL REGION OF BELARUS

Shishlova N.P., Bezlyudny V.N.

Research and Practical Centre of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus for Arable Farming, Zhodino, Belarus

The article presents the results of a long-term study of quantitative traits in winter triticale varieties and accessions grown in the soil/climatic conditions of the central region of Belarus using conventional and intensive cultivation technologies. The effects of the intensification level on the yield, performance constituents and triticale grain quality are described.

Key words: winter triticale, cultivation technology, yield, quantitative traits, variability

Introduction. High yields in various soil/climatic conditions are the main factor in the competitiveness of triticale as a grain fodder crop. In Belarus, the crop is represented mainly by the more productive winter form, as evidenced by sown area and number of released varieties [1, 2]. In 2020, the triticale crops on the territory of the Republic occupied 451,800 hectares, and winter triticale accounted for 438,000 hectares (96.7%) [3]. Of the 32 triticale varieties included in the State Register of the Republic of Belarus (2020), 23 domestic (10) and foreign (13) varieties are winter ones. In addition to domestic varieties, eight Polish, three German, one Ukrainian and one French winter varieties are allowed for cultivation on the territory of the Republic, with different areas of admissibility. The dynamic evolutionary formation of the triticale crop is associated with significant genotypic and modification variabilities of quantitative traits and a broad morphogenesis affected by biotic and abiotic factors [4, 5].

Our **purpose** was to assess the variability of quantitative traits in winter triticale grown by conventional and intensive cultivation technologies in Belarus.

Materials and methods. Winter hexaploid triticale (X Triticosecale Wittm. & A. Camus, 2n = 42) varieties and promising accessions bred in Belarus and other countries (Poland, Ukraine, Russia) from a competitive variety trial nursery were studied. Thirty accessions were tested annually in the experimental fields of the Research and Practical Centre of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus for Arable Farming using conventional and intensive cultivation technologies in accordance with the industry regulations [6]. Upon intensive cultivation, additional doses of nitrogen fertilizers, trace elements (Cu, Mn), growth regulators and fungicides were applied. The plot area was  $10 \text{ m}^2$ ; the replication number was three; the design was randomized; the seeding rate was 4.5 million viable seeds per hectare; the observation period was in 2011-2020.

We determined the yield, the grain number and weight from the main spike, test weight, and 1000-grain weight. The contents of crude protein, gluten and starch in grain were determined by near-infrared spectroscopy: the standard error of calibration and the coefficient of determination for crude protein (as total nitrogen) were 0.05 and 0.98, respectively; for gluten – 1.36 and 0.91, respectively; and for crude starch – 1.15 and 0.87, respectively. Data were statistically processed, as Dospekhov described [7].

The weather factors influencing the yield were not uniform during the observation period. Five out of ten years can be categorized as unfavorable ones, through the lens of fulfilling the performance potential. In 2011, 2013 and 2019, a severe (5–7 points) massive snow mold-induced damage to winter triticale fields was the main factor limiting the yield. The water deficit negatively affected the triticale yield in 2015 and, especially, in 2018, when the precipitation

\_

<sup>©</sup> N.P. Shishlova, V.N. Bezlyudny. 2021.

ISSN 1026-9959. Селекція і насінництво. 2021. Випуск 119

lack was protracted: in April there was 47% of the mean annual precipitation, in May -17% and in June -43%.

**Results and discussion**. The yields from winter triticale varieties and promising accessions in the competitive variety trial nursery averaged 6.93 and 7.78 t/ha when they were grown by conventional and intensive technologies, respectively (Tables 1, 2). The minimum yields were received with both technologies in 2013 (5.09 t/ha and 6.15 t/ha, respectively); the maximum yields – in 2017 (9.39 t/ha and 10.88 t/ha, respectively). The variational analysis of the average annual yield values showed that the modification (environmental) variability of this indicator was moderate (conventional technology) or considerable (intensive technology), indicating significant effects of the weather on the fulfillment of the biological potential of the winter triticale performance.

During the observation period, the grain number from the main spike in winter triticale averaged 48.4 (conventional technology) or 50.3 (intensive technology), with low environmental variability (8.02–9.19%). This level of the grain number was considered as high as per the Triticale classifier scale [8].

Table 1. Winter triticale yield, performance and grain quality (competitive variety trial, conventional technology of cultivation)

	COIIV	ciitionai tec	minorogy v	or cultivativ	<i>911)</i>		
Wiald	Main spike		Test	1000-	Crude	Claster	Crude
cwt/ha	grain number	grain weight, g	weight, g/L	weight,	protein, * %	%	starch,*
59.7	49.6	2.21	718	44.1	14.0	_	68.0
68.2	42.4	1.83	709	43.5	9.9	_	71.9
50.9	46.2	1.95	680	42.4	9.9	12.4	72.2
77.9	44.1	2.08	730	47.1	10.5	14.2	71.5
63.1	46.4	2.17	714	46.8	9.4	16.7	71.2
71.6	46.1	2.02	670	43.9	9.6	18.2	68.8
93.9	52.2	2.65	716	50.6	8.5	6.2	77.1
62.7	49.5	2.49	706	50.6	11.2	14.7	72.5
63.1	57.9	3.09	695	53.5	12.7	21.9	73.8
82.2	49.3	2.34	711	47.6	13.7	18.9	71.7
69.3±3.9	$48.4 \pm 1.4$	$2.28\pm0.12$	705±6	$47.0 \pm 1.2$	10.9±0.6	15.4±1.7	$71.9 \pm 0.8$
50.9-93.9	42.4-57.9	1.83-3.09	670-730	42.4-53.5	8.5-14.0	6.2-21.9	68.0-77.1
18.01	9.16	16.50	2.59	7.77	17.40	31.01	3.49
	59.7 68.2 50.9 77.9 63.1 71.6 93.9 62.7 63.1 82.2 69.3±3.9 50.9–93.9	Yield, cwt/ha         grain number           59.7         49.6           68.2         42.4           50.9         46.2           77.9         44.1           63.1         46.4           71.6         46.1           93.9         52.2           62.7         49.5           63.1         57.9           82.2         49.3           69.3±3.9         48.4±1.4           50.9-93.9         42.4-57.9	Main spike           Yield, cwt/ha         grain number         grain weight, g           59.7         49.6         2.21           68.2         42.4         1.83           50.9         46.2         1.95           77.9         44.1         2.08           63.1         46.4         2.17           71.6         46.1         2.02           93.9         52.2         2.65           62.7         49.5         2.49           63.1         57.9         3.09           82.2         49.3         2.34           69.3±3.9         48.4±1.4         2.28±0.12           50.9-93.9         42.4-57.9         1.83-3.09	Main spike         Test weight, g/L           Yield, cwt/ha         grain number         grain weight, g/L         Weight, g/L           59.7         49.6         2.21         718           68.2         42.4         1.83         709           50.9         46.2         1.95         680           77.9         44.1         2.08         730           63.1         46.4         2.17         714           71.6         46.1         2.02         670           93.9         52.2         2.65         716           62.7         49.5         2.49         706           63.1         57.9         3.09         695           82.2         49.3         2.34         711           69.3±3.9         48.4±1.4         2.28±0.12         705±6           50.9-93.9         42.4-57.9         1.83-3.09         670-730	Yield, cwt/ha         Main spike         Test weight, g/L         Test weight, g/L         1000-grain weight, g/L           59.7         49.6         2.21         718         44.1           68.2         42.4         1.83         709         43.5           50.9         46.2         1.95         680         42.4           77.9         44.1         2.08         730         47.1           63.1         46.4         2.17         714         46.8           71.6         46.1         2.02         670         43.9           93.9         52.2         2.65         716         50.6           62.7         49.5         2.49         706         50.6           63.1         57.9         3.09         695         53.5           82.2         49.3         2.34         711         47.6           69.3±3.9         48.4±1.4         2.28±0.12         705±6         47.0±1.2           50.9-93.9         42.4-57.9         1.83-3.09         670-730         42.4-53.5	Yield, cwt/ha         grain number         grain weight, g         grain weight, g/L         crude protein, weight, g/L           59.7         49.6         2.21         718         44.1         14.0           68.2         42.4         1.83         709         43.5         9.9           50.9         46.2         1.95         680         42.4         9.9           77.9         44.1         2.08         730         47.1         10.5           63.1         46.4         2.17         714         46.8         9.4           71.6         46.1         2.02         670         43.9         9.6           93.9         52.2         2.65         716         50.6         8.5           62.7         49.5         2.49         706         50.6         11.2           63.1         57.9         3.09         695         53.5         12.7           82.2         49.3         2.34         711         47.6         13.7           69.3±3.9         48.4±1.4         2.28±0.12         705±6         47.0±1.2         10.9±0.6	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Note: \* adjusted for absolutely dry matter.

The maximum number of grains from the main spike (59.2) was recorded with intensive technology in 2019 (Table 2).

Differentiation of the average annual values of another constituent of the spike performance – grain weight - was more pronounced, as evidenced by the coefficients of variation of the trait (16.50–17.79%). Obviously, there should be a close positive correlation between these biometric parameters of the spike. Correlation analysis showed that in winter triticale accession grown by conventional technology the degree of contingency between the amount and weight of grain from the main spike was 92.0%. The use of additional elements of intensification somewhat weakened this relationship, reducing it to 81.4%.

It should also be noted that in 2019 the maximum performance of the main spike in winter triticale was combined with the minimum number of productive stems. Assessment of the effect of this trait on the spike parameters showed negative, medium and insignificant

coefficients of correlation. Thus, the share of changes in the indicators of the grain amount and weight from the main spike depending on the number of productive stems was about 30% for the both cultivation technologies.

Table 2. Winter triticale performance and grain quality (competitive variety trial, intensive technology of cultivation)

Yield, cwt/ha	grain	grain weight g	Test weight, g/L	grain weight, g	Crude protein,*	Gluten, %	Crude starch,*
64.8	50.4	2.28	727	45.1	14.3	_	67.4
79.8	47.7	2.02	705	42.3	10.8	_	70.3
61.5	49.6	1.94	664	39.7	10.2	14.0	72.0
81.3	45.4	2.01	742	43.5	11.0	16.3	71.4
69.1	46.5	2.12	720	45.8	11.4	18.9	69.0
72.8	47.6	2.01	655	42.3	12.2	25.6	65.3
108.8	53.8	2.87	733	53.2	9.0	5.7	76.0
68.9	51.5	2.72	712	53.1	11.8	19.1	71.2
64.5	59.2	3.11	700	52.8	13.2	24.5	73.6
106.9	51.0	2.59	709	50.9	14.0	20.0	71.9
77.8±5.4	50.3±1.3	2.37±0.13	707±9	46.9±1.6	11.8±0.5	$18.0\pm2.2$	70.8±1.0
61.5– 108.8	45.4–59.2	1.94-3.11	655–742	39.7–53.2	9.0–14.3	5.7–25.6	65.3–76.0
21.90	8.02	17.79	3.96	11.00	14.25	34.87	4.30
	64.8 79.8 61.5 81.3 69.1 72.8 108.8 68.9 64.5 106.9 77.8±5.4 61.5– 108.8	number  64.8 50.4 79.8 47.7 61.5 49.6 81.3 45.4 69.1 46.5 72.8 47.6 108.8 53.8 68.9 51.5 64.5 59.2 106.9 51.0  77.8±5.4 50.3±1.3 61.5- 108.8 45.4-59.2  21.90 8.02	number         weight, g           64.8         50.4         2.28           79.8         47.7         2.02           61.5         49.6         1.94           81.3         45.4         2.01           69.1         46.5         2.12           72.8         47.6         2.01           108.8         53.8         2.87           68.9         51.5         2.72           64.5         59.2         3.11           106.9         51.0         2.59           77.8±5.4         50.3±1.3         2.37±0.13           61.5-         108.8         45.4-59.2         1.94-3.11           21.90         8.02         17.79	cwt/na         gruin number         gruin weight, g         g/L           64.8         50.4         2.28         727           79.8         47.7         2.02         705           61.5         49.6         1.94         664           81.3         45.4         2.01         742           69.1         46.5         2.12         720           72.8         47.6         2.01         655           108.8         53.8         2.87         733           68.9         51.5         2.72         712           64.5         59.2         3.11         700           106.9         51.0         2.59         709           77.8±5.4         50.3±1.3         2.37±0.13         707±9           61.5-         45.4-59.2         1.94-3.11         655-742           21.90         8.02         17.79         3.96	cwt/na         gram number         gram weight, g         g/L         weight, g           64.8         50.4         2.28         727         45.1           79.8         47.7         2.02         705         42.3           61.5         49.6         1.94         664         39.7           81.3         45.4         2.01         742         43.5           69.1         46.5         2.12         720         45.8           72.8         47.6         2.01         655         42.3           108.8         53.8         2.87         733         53.2           68.9         51.5         2.72         712         53.1           64.5         59.2         3.11         700         52.8           106.9         51.0         2.59         709         50.9           77.8±5.4         50.3±1.3         2.37±0.13         707±9         46.9±1.6           61.5-         108.8         45.4-59.2         1.94-3.11         655-742         39.7-53.2           21.90         8.02         17.79         3.96         11.00	cwt/na         gtain number weight, g         g/L         weight, g         %           64.8         50.4         2.28         727         45.1         14.3           79.8         47.7         2.02         705         42.3         10.8           61.5         49.6         1.94         664         39.7         10.2           81.3         45.4         2.01         742         43.5         11.0           69.1         46.5         2.12         720         45.8         11.4           72.8         47.6         2.01         655         42.3         12.2           108.8         53.8         2.87         733         53.2         9.0           68.9         51.5         2.72         712         53.1         11.8           64.5         59.2         3.11         700         52.8         13.2           106.9         51.0         2.59         709         50.9         14.0           77.8±5.4         50.3±1.3         2.37±0.13         707±9         46.9±1.6         11.8±0.5           61.5-         108.8         45.4-59.2         1.94-3.11         655-742         39.7-53.2         9.0-14.3           21	cwt/ha         grain number weight, g         g/L         weight, g         %           64.8         50.4         2.28         727         45.1         14.3         —           79.8         47.7         2.02         705         42.3         10.8         —           61.5         49.6         1.94         664         39.7         10.2         14.0           81.3         45.4         2.01         742         43.5         11.0         16.3           69.1         46.5         2.12         720         45.8         11.4         18.9           72.8         47.6         2.01         655         42.3         12.2         25.6           108.8         53.8         2.87         733         53.2         9.0         5.7           68.9         51.5         2.72         712         53.1         11.8         19.1           64.5         59.2         3.11         700         52.8         13.2         24.5           106.9         51.0         2.59         709         50.9         14.0         20.0           77.8±5.4         50.3±1.3         2.37±0.13         707±9         46.9±1.6         11.8±0.5         18.0±

Note: \* adjusted for absolutely dry matter.

Features of the triticale grain structure affect technological indicators of the crop. The test weight in triticale is lower in comparison with wheat, which is due to longer and less spherical caryopses [9]. In addition, the triticale caryopsis has a wrinkled pericarp, increasing the outer surface area and negatively affecting the grain density and teat weight. All the test weight values presented in Table 1 and Table 2 belonged to the middle category as per the classifier scale, since they were within the range of 651–751 g/L [8]. The test weight is the most environmentally stable quantitative trait of winter triticale.

The triticale grain size determines fairly high values of the 1000-grains weight exceeding corresponding values for rye and wheat grains. Recently, the indicator has stabilized at the optimal level for winter triticale (44–48 g), which, to a certain extent, allows combining the caryopsis compactness and plumpness. On average, over the observation period, the 1000-grain weight in the winter triticale accessions was 47.0 and 46.9 g upon conventional and intensive cultivation technologies, respectively. Additional methods of intensification did not significantly affect on this indicator, but increased the modification variability of the trait (Table 2).

Analysis of the correlations between the technological indicators, teat weight and 1000-grain weight, showed positive insignificant correlation coefficients for conventional and intensive technologies of cultivation: r = 0.26 and 0.42, respectively.

The storage substance contents in winter triticale grain of significantly differed, depending on the intensification of cultivation and the impact of the environment. The use of additional nitrogen and trace elements significantly increased the crude protein and gluten contents and, accordingly, decreased the crude starch content. The maximum protein content in winter triticale grain (14.3%) was recorded in 2011; it is the medium level as per the classifier scale. As the breeding practice has shown, achievement of a high amount of crude protein

 $(\geq 15.1\%)$  in winter triticale grain grown in the soil-climatic zone of Belarus is a difficult challenge, especially if the performance potential of the genotype is preserved [10]. Its solution, along with methods of distant hybridization and molecular genetics, may be facilitated by global warming.

Typically, the gluten content in winter triticale is low, which is confirmed by data of the last eight-year observations. There was a strong significant (p = 0.05) correlation between the protein and gluten contents in winter triticale grain: correlation coefficients r = 0.70 (conventional technology) and 0.94 (intensive technology). Wide modification variability is a distinctive feature of the "gluten content" trait. The "starch content" trait, on the contrary, along with the test weight, is amongst the most stable quantitative traits in winter triticale. The starch content in grain varied slightly both over the study years and between the cultivation technologies.

The studied quantitative traits of the winter triticale accessions from the competitive variety trial nursery were characterized by different levels of genotypic (varietal) variability. Such indicators as the gluten content, yield and grain weight per ear per spike were the most variable, while the test weight and crude starch content – the least variable (Table 3). The genotypic variability of the other traits of winter triticale was moderate. It should be noted that the use of additional methods of intensification changed the absolute values of the indicators, but did not have a key effect on the level of their varietal variability.

Table 3. Genotypic variability of quantitative traits in the winter triticale accessions: 1-conventional technology, 2-intensive technology (2011–2020, n = 285)

Trait	Rai	nge	Coefficient of variation, %		
Yield, t/ha	37.0–103.6	42.8-127.7	20.02	22.89	
Grain number	29.6–68.6	32.9-74.9	13.06	13.46	
Grain weight, g	1.35-3.81	1.29-3.78	19.97	20.71	
Test weight, g/L	635–765	615-770	3.70	4.44	
1000-grain weight, g	34.0-65.2	30.6-62.8	12.97	14.77	
Crude protein, % (adjusted for absolutely dry matter)	7.5–15.3	7.7–16.1	17.04	15.37	
Gluten*, %	2.6-29.5	2.2-32.7	33.35	38.38	
Crude starch, % (adjusted for absolutely dry matter)	64.1–79.8	60.3–79.3	3.72	4.82	

Note: \* n= 235 accessions.

**Conclusions**. The study of the quantitative traits of winter triticale varieties and promising accessions grown in Belarus using conventional and intensive cultivation technologies allowed for assessments of their values and variability ranges. The maximum stability (environmental and genotypic) was intrinsic to such indicators of winter triticale as the test weight and crude starch content, while the minimum – to the gluten content and yield.

The use of additional methods of intensification changed the absolute values of the quantitative traits, but did not have a key effect on the level of their variability.

### Список использованных источников

- 1. Сельское хозяйство Республики Беларусь: стат. сборник. Нац. стат. комитет Респ. Беларусь. Минск, 2020. 180 с.
- 2. Государственный реестр сортов. М-во сел. хоз-ва и прод. Респ. Беларусь, Гос. инспекция по испытанию и охране сортов растений. Минск, 2020. 270 с.
- 3. Посевные площади сельскохозяйственных культур в Республике Беларусь за 2020 год: бюллетень. Нац. стат. комитет Респ. Беларусь. Минск, 2020. 30 с.

- 4. Blum A. The abiotic stress response and adaptation of triticale a review. *Cereal Res. Com.* 2014. Vol. 42, № 3. P. 359–375. DOI: 10.1556/CRC.42.2014.3.1.
- 5. Losert D., Maurer H.P., Marulanda J.J., Würschum T. Phenotypic and genotypic analyses of diversity and breeding progress in European triticale (X *Triticosecale* Wittmack). *Plant Breeding*. 2017. № 136. P. 18–27. DOI: 10.1111/pbr.12433.
- 6. Организационно-технологические нормативы возделывания зерновых, зернобобовых и крупяных культур: сб. отраслевых регламентов. НАН Беларуси, НПЦ НАН Беларуси по земледелию. Под ред. В.Г. Гусакова, Ф.И. Привалова. Минск: Беларуская навука, 2014. 288 с
- 7. Доспехов Б.А. Методика полевого опыта. М.: Агропромиздат, 1985. 351 с.
- 8. Klasifikator x Triticale Müntzing. Praha: [w. e.], 1981. 33 p.
- 9. Осокіна Н.М., Костецька К.В. Порівняльна оцінка круп'яних властивостей зерна ярих пшениці, тритикале та ячменю. *Вісник Уманського Національного Університету Садівниитва*. 2014. № 1. С. 78–83.
- 10. Шишлова Н.П. Физиолого-биохимические основы продуктивности и качества тритикале: монография. Минск: Беларуская навука, 2018. 201 с.

#### References

- 1. Agriculture of the Republic of Belarus: statistical collection. National Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus. Minsk, 2020. 180 p.
- 2. National List of Varieties. Ministry of Agriculture and Food of the Republic of Belarus, State Inspection for Testing and Protection of Plant Varieties. Minsk, 2020. 270 p.
- 3. Arable Lands of Crops in the Republic of Belarus for 2020: bulletin. National Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus. Minsk, 2020. 30 p.
- 4. Blum A. The abiotic stress response and adaptation of triticale a review. Cereal Res. Com. 2014; 42 (3): 359–375. DOI: 10.1556/CRC.42.2014.3.1.
- 5. Losert D, Maurer HP, Marulanda JJ, Würschum T. Phenotypic and genotypic analyses of diversity and breeding progress in European triticale (X *Triticosecale* Wittmack). Plant Breeding. 2017; 136: 18–27. DOI: 10.1111/pbr.12433.
- 6. Organizational and technological standards of cultivation of grain, leguminous and al crops: collection of industry regulations. In: VG Gusakov, FI Privalov, eds. Minsk: Belarus. navuka, 2014. 288 p.
- 7. Dospekhov BA. Methodology for a field experiment. Moscow: Agropromizdat, 1985. 351 p.
- 8. Klasifikator x Triticale Müntzing. Praha, 1981. 33 p.
- 9. Osokina NM, Kosteckaya KV. Comparative evaluation of cereal gran properties of spring wheat, triticale and barley. Visnyk Umanskogo Natsionalnogo Universitetu Sadivnictva. 2014; 1: 78–83.
- 10. Shishlova NP. Physiological and biochemical basic concepts of triticale productivity and quality. Minsk: Belarus. navuka, 2018. 201 p.

## МІНЛИВІСТЬ КІЛЬКІСНИХ ОЗНАК ОЗИМОГО ТРИТІКАЛЕ В УМОВАХ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОГО РЕГІОНУ БІЛОРУСІ

Шишлова Н.П., Безлюдний В.Н.

НВЦ НАН Білорусі по землеробству, м. Жодіно, Білорусь

**Мета**. Оцінити мінливість кількісних ознак озимого тритікале, яке вирощується за звичайною та інтенсивною технологією в умовах Білорусі.

**Матеріали і методи**. Об'єктом дослідження були 30 сортів і перспективних зразків озимого гексаплоїдного тритікале (*X Triticosecale* Wittm. & A. Camus, 2*n*=42) вітчизняної та закордонної селекції (Польща, Україна, Росія) з розсадника конкурсного сортовипробування. Зразки щорічно тестували на дослідних полях науково-

практичного центру Національної академії наук Білорусі по землеробству за звичайною та інтенсивною технологією вирощування. При інтенсивному рівні вирощування застосовували додаткову дозу азотних добрив, мікроелементи (Cu, Mn), регулятори росту та фунгіциди. Площа ділянки  $-10 \text{ m}^2$ , повторення - трьохкратне, розміщення ділянок рандомізоване, норма висіву 4,5 млн схожого насіння на гектар, період спостереження -2011-2020 рр.

Визначено врожайність, кількість та масу зерен основного колоса, натуру і масу 1000 зерен. Вміст в зерні сирого протеїну, клейковини та крохмалю визначали методом ближньої інфрачервоної спектроскопії. Статистичну обробку даних проводили за Доспеховым Б.А.

**Обговорення результатів.** У статті представлено результати багаторічного вивчення кількісних ознак сортів і зразків озимого тритікале, вирощених в грунтово-кліматичних умовах центрального регіону Білорусі за звичайною та інтенсивною технологією. Показано ступінь впливу рівня інтенсифікації на врожайність, елементи продуктивності та якість зерна тритікале.

**Висновки.** Максимальною стабільністю характеризувалися показники озимого тритікале «натура зерна» та «вміст сирого крохмалю»; мінімальною — «вміст клейковини» та «врожайність». Застосування додаткових прийомів інтенсифікації змінювало абсолютні значення кількісних ознак, але не мало суттєвого впливу на рівень їх мінливості.

**Ключові слова:** тритікале озиме, технологія вирощування, врожайність, кількісна ознака, мінливість

## ИЗМЕНЧИВОСТЬ КОЛИЧЕСТВЕННЫХ ПРИЗНАКОВ ОЗИМОГО ТРИТИКАЛЕ В УСЛОВИЯХ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОГО РЕГИОНА БЕЛАРУСИ

Шишлова Н.П., Безлюдный В.Н.

НПЦ НАН Беларуси по земледелию, г. Жодино, Беларусь

**Цель**. Оценить изменчивость количественных признаков озимого тритикале, выращиваемого по обычной и интенсивной технологиям возделывания в условиях Беларуси.

Материалы и методы. Объектом исследований были 30 сортов и перспективных образцов озимого гексаплоидного тритикале (X Triticosecale Wittm. & A. Camus, 2n=42) отечественной и зарубежной селекции (Польша, Украина, Россия) из питомника конкурсного сортоиспытания. Образцы ежегодно тестировали на опытных полях научно-практического центра Национальной академии наук Беларуси по земледелию по обычной и интенсивной технологиям возделывания. При интенсивном уровне возделывания применяли дополнительную дозу азотных удобрений, микроэлементы (Сu, Mn), регуляторы роста и фунгициды. Площадь делянки – 10 м², повторение – трехкратное рендомизированное, норма высева 4,5 млн всхожих семян на гектар, период наблюдений – 2011–2020 гг.

Определили урожайность, количество и массу зерен главного колоса, натуру и массу 1000 зерен. Содержание в зерне сырого протеина, клейковины и крахмала определили методом ближней инфракрасной спектроскопии. Статистическую обработку данных проводили по Доспехову Б.А.

#### Обсуждение результатов.

В статье представлены результаты многолетнего изучения количественных признаков сортов и образцов озимого тритикале, выращиваемых в почвенно-климатических условиях центрального региона Беларуси по обычной и интенсивной технологиям возделывания. Показана степень влияния уровня интенсификации на урожайность, элементы продуктивности и качество зерна тритикале.

**Выводы.** Максимальной стабильностью характеризовались показатели озимого тритикале «натура зерна» и «содержание сырого крахмала»; минимальной — «содержание клейковины» и «урожайность». Применение дополнительных приемов интенсификации изменяло абсолютные значения количественных признаков, но не оказывало определяющего влияния на уровень их изменчивости.

**Ключевые слова:** тритикале озимое, технология возделывания, урожайность, количественные признаки, изменчивость

## VARIABILITY OF QUANTITATIVE TRAITS IN WINTER TRITICALE IN THE CENTRAL REGION OF BELARUS

Shishlova N.P., Bezliudny V.N.

Research and Practical Centre of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus for Arable Farming

**Introduction:** The article presents the results of a long-term study of quantitative traits in winter triticale varieties and accessions grown in the soil/climatic conditions of the central region of Belarus using conventional and intensive cultivation technologies. The effects of the intensification level on the yield, performance constituents and triticale grain quality are described.

**Purpose:** to assess the variability of quantitative traits in winter triticale grown by conventional and intensive cultivation technologies in Belarus.

**Material and methods:** Winter hexaploid triticale varieties and promising accessions grown by traditional and intensive technologies in a competitive variety trial nursery were studied. The plot area was 10 m<sup>2</sup>; the replication number was three; the design was randomized; the seeding rate was 4.5 million viable seeds per hectare; the observation period was in 2011-2020. Upon intensive cultivation, additional doses of nitrogen fertilizers, trace elements (Cu, Mn), growth regulators and fungicides were applied. The contents of crude protein, gluten and starch in grain were determined by near-infrared spectroscopy

**Results and discussion:** During the observation period, the average yield from the winter triticale accessions in the competitive variety trial nursery was on 69.3 dt/ha and 77.8 dt/ha upon the application of traditional and intensive cultivation technologies, respectively. The additional factors of intensification increased the yield by 8.5 dt/ha or by 12.3 %. The constituents of the main spike performance as well as contents of crude protein and gluten in winter triticale grain also grew. Strengthening of intensification of the cultivation technology didn't significantly affect the test weight, 1000-grain weight or crude protein content.

**Conclusions.** The use of additional methods of intensification was demonstrated to change the absolute values of the winter triticale indicators, to have no key impact on the level of their environmental of genotypic variability. The test weight and crude protein content were the most stable traits, while the gluten content and yield – the least stable ones, as their values were determined by both varietal specificity and environmental factors.

**Key words:** winter triticale, cultivation technology, yield, quantitative traits, variation.