

UDC 615.453.3 + 547.913 + 582.711.71

DOI: 10.15587/2519-4852.2022.259059

RESEARCH IN COMPONENTS OF ESSENTIAL OILS FROM FLOWERS AND LEAVES OF THE GENUS *ALCHEMILLA* L. SPECIES

Nataliia Dubel, Lyubov Grytsyk, Alla Kovaleva, Andriy Grytsyk, Oleh Koshovyi

The genus *Alchemilla* L. has about 1000 species in the world flora, of which 35 grow in Ukraine. The most common in Ukraine are *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. Despite the widespread distribution of species of the genus *Alchemilla* L. and the publication of a monograph on the herb of the collective species – *Herba Alchemillae* (*Alchemilla vulgaris* L. sensu latiore) in the State Pharmacopoeia of Ukraine, the chemical composition, and pharmacological properties of species of the genus *Alchemilla* L. are insufficiently studied, therefore, it is advisable to study the chemical composition of the most common species of flora of Ukraine for their introduction into medical and pharmaceutical practice.

The aim of the work was to study the component composition and quantitative content of essential oils of flowers and leaves of some species of the genus *Alchemilla* L.

Materials and methods. Flowers and leaves of *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus., *Alchemilla phegophila* Juz. and *Alchemilla subrenata* Bus. harvested in the Ivano-Frankivsk region in 2020–2021.

The component composition and quantitative content of essential oils were determined by chromato-mass spectrometric method. The compounds were identified by comparing the obtained mass spectra of the chromatographic peak with the mass spectra of the reference compounds and based on comparison with the spectra of the database. Quantitative determination of the content of substances in the raw material was performed in comparison with a standard sample of menthol.

Results. The essential oil of flowers and leaves of *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus., *Alchemilla phegophila* Juz. and *Alchemilla subrenata* Bus. were obtained. It was found that the highest content of essential oils was characterized by *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus. flowers (16884.6 mg/kg), and the least essential oil was contained in the leaves of *Alchemilla Phegophila* Juz. (4895.5 mg/kg). As a result of studying the component composition of essential oils of flowers and leaves of *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus., *Alchemilla Phegophila* Juz. and *Alchemilla subrenata* Bus. 48, 51 and 47 compounds were identified, of which 44, 48 and 43 were identified, respectively. 31 components of essential oil were common in the studied samples of raw materials.

Conclusions. Due to the component composition of the essential oil of flowers and leaves of *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus., *Alchemilla phegophila* Juz. and *Alchemilla subrenata* Bus., as well as considering the known pharmacological activity of its components, it is advisable to conduct further pharmacological studies of raw materials of the genus *Alchemilla* L. to study their antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antitumor properties

Keywords: *Alchemilla*, *Alchemilla flabellata* Bus., *Alchemilla phegophila* Juz., *Alchemilla subrenata* Bus., flowers, leaves, essential oil, chromato-mass spectrometry

How to cite:

Dubel, N., Grytsyk, L., Kovaleva, A., Grytsyk, A., Koshovyi, O. (2022). Research in components of essential oils from flowers and leaves of the genus *Alchemilla* L. species. ScienceRise: Pharmaceutical Science, 3 (37), 34–39. doi: <http://doi.org/10.15587/2519-4852.2022.259059>

© The Author(s) 2022

This is an open access article under the Creative Commons CC BY license

1. Introduction

Representatives of the genus *Alchemilla* L. of the family *Rosacea* are of great scientific and practical importance for expanding the range of herbal medicines [1, 2]. The genus *Alchemilla* L. has about 1000 species in the world flora [2, 3], of which 35 grow in Ukraine. The genus *Alchemilla* L. is a complex taxonomic complex of plants, that have the ability to polymorphism [2, 4]. Species affiliation of plants is unclear due to the presence of transitional forms [5, 6]. Therefore, botanists use the name *Alchemilla (A.) vulgaris* L. as a collective species consisting of apogamous species [2, 7].

The herb *Alchemilla* L. is included in the State Pharmacopoeia of Ukraine [8]. The raw materials are

whole or cut, dried, flowering aboveground parts of *A. vulgaris* L. sensu latiare.

The most common species in Ukraine are *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. [9].

Many scientists have identified, isolated, and quantified the biologically active substances of the collective species, grouped under the name *A. vulgaris* L. [9, 10], while other authentic species have not been sufficiently studied. Plants of the genus *Alchemilla* L. contain tannins, flavonoids, hydroxycinnamic acids, lipids, coumarins, bitters, resins, trace elements, vitamins [9].

Despite the widespread distribution of species of the genus *Alchemilla* L. and the publication of a monograph on herb of the collective species – *Herba Alchemi-*

llae (*Alchemiilla vulgaris* L. *sensu latiore*) in the State Pharmacopoeia of Ukraine, the chemical composition, and pharmacological properties of species of the genus *Alchemilla* L. are insufficiently studied. At the same time, there is almost no data on the study of the constituent parts of herb species of this genus.

Due to the lack of literature on the presence of essential oils in the herb of species of the genus *Alchemilla* L., the aim of the work was to study the component composition of essential oils of flowers and leaves of the most common species of the genus *Alchemilla* L of the Ukrainian flora.

2. Research planning (methodology)

Stages of the research of plant raw materials are given in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Planning of the research

3. Materials and methods of the research

Flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus., harvested during the period of mass flowering in 2020–2021 in the Ivano-Frankivsk region [11].

The component composition and quantitative content of essential oils were determined using the chromato-mass spectrometric method [11, 12]. The retention indices of the components were calculated based on the results of control analyzes of essential oils with the addition of a mixture of normal alkanes (C_{10} – C_{18}) [13, 14].

To study the components of essential oils in medicinal plant raw materials used Vinogradov's meth-

od [15, 16], which allows to isolate volatile compounds from a small amount of plant material and fully extract their components for further qualitative and quantitative analysis, which is especially important in the initial assessment of plant projects [17, 18]. For distillation of essential oils from medicinal plant raw materials used 22 ml Agilent vials (part number 5183–4536) with open lids and silicone sealant, through which installed a refrigerator 50 cm long and 5–7 mm in diameter.

The composition of essential oils was investigated on a chromatograph Agilent Technology 6890N (USA) with a mass spectrometric detector 5973N (chromatographic column quartz, capillary HP-5MS; column length – 30 m; inner diameter 0.25 mm; carrier gas – helium; gas velocity carrier – 1 ml/min; sample volume – 0.1–0.5 μ l (for solutions of essential oils), the introduction of the sample with a flow separation of 1/50, the temperature of the thermostat 50 °C with programming 4 °C/min to 220 °C, evaporator detector temperature 250 °C) [15, 16].

The obtained spectra were considered both based on general regularities of fragmentation of molecules of organic compounds under the action of electron impact and by comparing the obtained results with the indicators in the mass spectral library of the NIST 02 database (more than 174,000 substances). For each chromatographic peak, the average mass spectrum was calculated from which the background spectrum was subtracted.

The compounds were identified by comparing the obtained mass spectra of the chromatographic peak with the mass spectra of the reference compounds and based on comparison with the spectra of the database. Quantitative determination of the content of substances in the raw material was performed in comparison with a standard sample of menthol [10, 11].

4. Research results and their discussion

As a result of the conducted research (Table 1) in flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, fatty acids, terpenoids and their derivatives were identified.

Table 1

Component composition of essential oils of flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Bus. and *A. subrenata* Bus.

No.	Retention index, min	The component of the essential oil	Content, mg/kg					
			<i>A. flabellata</i>		<i>A. phegophila</i>		<i>A. subrenata</i>	
			Flowers	Leaves	Flowers	Leaves	Flowers	Leaves
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	7.36–7.41	phenylacetaldehyde	14.6	5.7	40.6	10.2	18.2	2.5
2	7.62	unidentified component	8.5	–	–	–	–	–
3	8.84	cis-linalool oxide	–	–	13.8	–	3.3	–
4	9.28	trans-linalool oxide	–	–	16.9	–	5.5	–
5	9.66	nonanal	–	–	10.7	–	12.3	6.7

Continuation of Table 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
6	9.77–9.78	linalool	–	–	15.9	6.1	5.4	–
7	10.30	β -phenethyl alcohol	–	9.8	–	–	–	–
8	11.35–11.45	2-ethylcaproic acid	29.4	20.5	21.0	40.2	–	22.2
9	12.11	terpinene-4-ol	–	–	6.8	–	–	–
10	12.27	para-cymene-8-ol	–	–	2.4	–	–	–
11	12.50–12.53	α -terpineol	7.5	8.2	106.6	18.2	13.8	–
12	12.95–12.96	decanal	4.8	8.7	9.2	30.5	14.1	7.0
13	13.15–13.22	caprylic acid	33.1	26.3	–	–	–	22.3
14	13.21	myrtenol	–	–	30.4	–	–	–
15	15.27	geraniol	–	–	13.3	–	–	–
16	15.53–15.57	indole	–	–	–	–	4.7	6.0
17	16.22	2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol	60.2	37.9	20.7	13.1	8.3	24.4
18	16.38–16.47	nonanoic acid	84.2	75.6	16.9	34.6	81.7	69.3
19	17.27–17.28	γ -nonalactone	5.4	8.2	–	–	–	–
20	17.61–17.62	eugenol	6.6	13.4	9.2	10.8	4.7	–
21	17.97	2-dodecenal	8.1	–	–	9.6	–	–
22	19.27–19.30	capric acid	71.2	85.4	49.4	37.4	74.7	136.6
23	19.27	ethyl caprylate	–	–	–	80.6	–	–
24	19.50	dodecanal	8.9	–	–	–	–	–
25	19.89–19.90	2,3-dehydro- α -ionone	–	–	8.9	12.1	–	–
26	19.99	β -caryophyllene	–	8.9	–	–	–	–
27	20.72	geranylacetone	9.8	11.4	9.0	18.3	5.3	–
28	21.24	β -farnesene	–	14.1	–	–	–	–
29	21.53	β -ionone epoxide	12.8	9.2	–	15.3	–	4.9
30	21.61	β -ionone	17.7	–	7.4	10.5	–	–
31	22.03	undecanoic acid	17.0	21.3	–	–	43.6	14.4
32	22.53	unidentified component	–	–	26.4	–	–	–
33	22.59	tetradecanal	10.1	–	–	18.8	15.3	–
34	24.19–24.20	megastigmatrienon	–	–	–	–	5.3	11.5
35	24.44	spatulanol	–	28.6	–	–	–	–
36	24.47–24.49	caryophyllene oxide	–	–	35.9	20.8	–	–
37	25.10–25.14	lauric acid	153.0	195.0	96.0	87.4	96.1	106.0
38	25.17	benzophenone	47.4	105.0	53.0	162.4	100.1	109.7
39	25.55	unidentified component	–	–	–	–	15.2	–
40	26.32–26.34	β -eudesmol	28.9	45.5	–	–	–	–
41	27.25–27.27	tridecanoic acid	24.3	32.2	18.3	–	37.9	31.9
42	27.86	hexadecanal	13.6	–	–	19.0	51.6	–
43	28.79	11-tetradecenoic acid	–	36.8	–	–	–	–
44	28.8	13-tetradecenoic acid	–	–	29.9	–	–	–
45	29.37–29.44	myristic acid	380.3	507.5	275.1	382.2	498.0	493.1
46	30.25–30.26	hexahydropharnesyl acetone	34.9	44.2	72.4	62.9	274.0	172.7
47	30.43–30.49	14-pentadecenoic acid	37.1	46.5	29.6	–	–	26.3
48	30.86–30.88	pentadecanoic acid	188.9	181.1	149.0	129.3	322.0	163.1
49	31.48	methyl palmitate	–	–	–	–	55.9	–
50	31.88–31.97	palmitoleic acid	305.8	572.5	440.7	350.6	141.7	129.6
51	32.64–32.69	palmitic acid	2705.7	2891.5	2271.3	2948.2	5197.0	2200.8
52	33.32	unidentified component	–	139.9	–	–	–	–
53	33.48–33.50	heptadecanoic acid	60.4	43.7	45.5	51.0	180.0	47.5
54	33.63–33.65	methyl linolenate	69.4	107.4	–	–	–	53.8
55	34.11	phytol	120.9	63.8	–	156.3	228.2	–
56	34.63–34.71	linoleic acid	2801.8	3505.5	1677.9	2241.7	4060.2	2630.5
57	34.80–34.84	stearic acid	148.6	96.9	76.3	98.1	138.2	66.3
58	35.22	unidentified component	–	–	–	–	159.2	–
59	36.21–36.23	tricosan	338.9	114.1	206.8	78.4	1037.3	87.6
60	37.22	tetracosan	–	–	–	54.6	–	–
61	38.13–38.14	unidentified component	95.6	148.8	90.2	73.4	–	143.6
62	38.23–38.24	pentacosane	196.8	58.4	–	–	771.6	115.3
63	40.00–40.02	unidentified component	102.9	153.2	–	–	–	116.3

Continuation of Table 1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
64.	40.08–40.09	heptacosan	91.2	63.6	108.0	116.7	559.5	117.6
65.	41.10–41.13	squalene	759.6	950.5	813.9	599.3	900.4	689.2
66.	41.81–41.83	nonacosane	–	–	49.2	45.6	204.6	34.3
67.	42.83–42.84	1,27-octacosadiene	–	–	92.8	135.7	221.4	–
68.	43.44	triacontanol	100.5	53.1	192.2	288.3	511.7	86.5
69.	44.98	tritriacontanol	189.5	109.0	396.8	799.7	806.6	141.9
Total:			9405,9	10658,9	7877,4	4895,5	16884,6	8091,4

Note: “–” – the substance is not detected.

5. Discussion of research results

For the first time the essential oil of flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. It was found that the highest content of essential oils was characterized by flowers of *A. subrenata* Bus. (16884.6 mg/kg), somewhat inferior to the leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus. (10658.9 mg/kg). The least essential oil was contained in the leaves of *A. phegophila* Juz. (4895.5 mg/kg). The obtained results indicate that the content of volatile compounds in the leaf of *A. flabellata* Bus. is slightly higher than in flowers – 10658.9 mg/kg and 9405.9 mg/kg, respectively; essential oil content in the flowers of *A. phegophila* Juz. prevails over the content in the leaves 1.6 times – 7877.4 mg/kg and 4895.5 mg/kg; the content of essential oil of *A. subrenata* Bus. in flowers prevailed over the content in the leaves in 2 times – 16884.6 mg/kg and 8091.4 mg/kg.

As a result of studying the component composition of essential oils of flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. 48, 51 and 47 compounds were identified, of which 44, 48 and 43 were identified, respectively.

31 components of essential oil were common in the studied samples of raw materials. In particular, these are substances of terpene nature: monocyclic monoterpenoid – α -terpineol, β -ionone epoxide; diterpenoids – geranyl acetone, phytol; sesquiterpene – hexahydropharnesylacetone; acyclic triterpenoid – squalene; aromatic compounds: alcohols – eugenol, 2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol; aldehyde – enyl acetaldehyde; ketone – benzophenone; aliphatic compounds: saturated hydrocarbons – tricosan, pentacosan, heptacosan, triacontane, tritriacontane; aldehydes – decanal, tetradecanal, hexadecanal; fatty acids: lauric, myristic, palmitoleic, palmitic, linoleic, stearic, pelargonium, 2-ethylcaproic, capric, tridecanoic, 14-pentadecenoic, pentadecanoic, margaric.

Specific compounds for the essential oil of *A. flabellata* Bus. leaves were β -phenylethyl alcohol and 11-tetradecenoic acid; for the essential oil of *A. phegophila* Juz. flowers – substances of terpene nature: terpinene-4-ol, para-cimen-8-ol, myrtenol, geraniol; 13-tetradecenoic acid; for the essential oil of the leaves of *A. phegophila* Juz. – tetracosane hydrocarbon; for essential oils of flowers and leaves of *A. phegophila* Juz. – substances of terpene nature: 2,3-dehydro- α -ionone, caryophyllene oxide; for essential oils of flowers and leaves of *A. subrenata* Bus. – base indole and triterpene megastigmatrienone.

Analyzing the data obtained we could conclude that for raw materials *A. flabellata* Bus. specific markers are β -phenylethyl alcohol, γ -nonalactone, β -caryophyl-

lene, β -farnesene, spatulenol, β -eudesmol and 11-tetradecenoic acid; for *A. phegophila* Bus. – terpinene-4-ol, para-cimen-8-ol, myrtenol, geraniol, 2,3-dehydro- α -ionone, caryophyllene oxide, 13-tetradecenoic acid and tetracosane; for *A. subrenata* Bus. – megastigmatrienone and methyl palmitate.

The dominant compounds of essential oils of flowers and leaves of all studied samples were palmitic and linoleic fatty acids, as well as triterpene squalene. Of particular scientific interest are biologically active compounds: α -terpineol, geranyl acetone, phytol, hexahydropharnesyl acetone, eugenol, spatulenol, β -ionone, linalool, geraniol and squalene.

The biological activity of essential oils is not the sum of the activities of its constituent components but is manifested in the combined effect of one component on another. In particular, BAS *squalene* exhibits hypolipidemic, hypocholesterolemic, immunomodulatory, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antitumor activity [19, 20]. *Linalool* has antibacterial, wound healing, anti-inflammatory, sedative and diuretic activities [20, 21]. The aromatic compound *eugenol* has bactericidal, antiseptic, anti-inflammatory, expectorant, antifungal, analgesic, antispasmodic, antioxidant and sedative effects [20, 22]. The diterpenoid *geranylacetone* has a cytoprotective effect. Cyclic terpenoid β -ionone reduces the growth of tumors and metastases [23]. Terpene α -terpineol in the experiment shows a pronounced antifungal activity against mycelial and yeast-like fungi, as well as antioxidant properties in the model oxidation system of methyl linoleate at the level of 80–95 % [24, 25]. Monounsaturated diterpene alcohol *phytol* has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects, is part of chlorophyll, vitamin E and K [15, 26]. Sesquiterpene *hexahydropharnesylacetone* exhibits anti-inflammatory activity [27, 28].

In connection with the establishment of the presence of such biologically active substances as squalene, phytol, linalool, α -terpineol, geranyl acetone, hexahydropharnesyl acetone, eugenol, β -ionon and geraniol, it is advisable to conduct further pharmacological studies of herbs of the genus *Alchemilla* L. antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antitumor properties.

Study limitations. As chromat-mass spectrometric determination of the component composition of essential oils was performed by comparing the obtained mass spectra of the chromatographic peak with the mass spectra of reference compounds and based on comparison with the spectra of the database, identified compounds whose spectra were in the register. Therefore, not

all compounds for which quantitative content was determined by this method could be identified.

Prospects for further research. Detection of such biologically active substances as squalene, phytol, linalol, α -terpineol, geranyl acetone, hexahydropharnesyl acetone, eugenol, β -ionon and geraniol indicates the prospects of research into antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and antitumor properties of the study.

6. Conclusions

For the first time the component composition of essential oils of flowers and leaves of the most common species of the genus *Alchemilla* L. flora of Ukraine was studied by chromat-mass spectrometric method. Among the components of essential oils, aliphatic, aromatic hydrocarbons, fatty acids, terpenoids and their derivatives have been identified. In the essential oils of flowers and leaves of *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. 48, 51 and 47 compounds were identified, of which 44, 48 and 43 were identified, respectively.

Comparative analysis of the component composition of biologically active substances in the essential oil

of flowers and leaves *A. flabellata* Bus., *A. phegophila* Juz. and *A. subrenata* Bus. showed the prospects for further pharmacognostic and pharmacological research of these plants as sources of medicinal raw materials.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Financing

The research is a fragment of the scientific work of the Department of Pharmaceutical Management, Drug Technology and Pharmacognosy of Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University “Research of cultivated and wild medicinal plants of the Western region of Ukraine and development of technologies for their therapeutic purposes” (state registration number 0118U003809).

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the associate professor of biology and ecology of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University Shumska N. V. for botanical assessment and identification of the studied raw materials.

References

1. Gehrke, B., Bräuchler, C., Romoleroux, K., Lundberg, M., Heubl, G., Eriksson, T. (2008). Molecular phylogenetics of *Alchemilla*, *Aphanes* and *Lachemilla* (Rosaceae) inferred from plastid and nuclear intron and spacer DNA sequences, with comments on generic classification. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 47 (3), 1030–1044. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.03.004>
2. Boruz, V. (2010) Taxonomy, chorology, ecology and coenology of the *Alchemilla* flabellate species. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 42 (2), 216–223.
3. Bradshaw, M. E. (2009). The decline of Lady's-mantles (*Alchemilla vulgaris* L. agg.) and other hay-meadow species in Northern England since the 1950s. *Watsonia*, 27, 315–321.
4. Gavrilova, A., Vitkova, A. (2010). Distribution and Ecology of *Alchemilla* Species in Osogovo Mt. and West Balkan Mt. In Bulgaria. *Hacquetia*, 9 (1), 77–90. doi: <http://doi.org/10.2478/v10028-010-0004-0>
5. Kurto, A., Frohner, S., Lampinen, R. et. al. (2007). Rosaceae (*Alchemilla* and *Aphanes*). Helsinki: The Committee for Mapping the Flora of Europe & Societas Biologica Fennica Vanamo, 200.
6. Boruz, V. (2011). The morpho-anatomy of *Alchemilla* flabellata species. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 16, 59–65.
7. European Pharmacopoeia (2005). Council of Europe, Strasbourg, 935.
8. Falcheroa, L., Coppaa, M., Espostib, S., Tava, A. (2008). Essential Oil Composition of *Alchemilla alpina* L. em. Buser from Western Alpine Pastures. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 20 (6), 542–545. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2008.9700084>
9. Grytsky, A. R., Grytsky, L. M., Tuchak, N. I. (2011). Perspektyvy vykorystannya roslyn rodu *Pryvoroten* u medytsyni ta farmatsiyi. Lviv: DP MVS Ukrayiny «Lviv – Inform – Resursy», 64.
10. Derzhavna Farmakopeia Ukrainy. Dopovnennya 5. (2021). Kharkiv: Derzhavne pidpriemstvo «Ukrainskyi naukovyi farmakopeinyi tsentr yakosti likarskykh zasobiv», 424.
11. Derzhavna Farmakopeia Ukrainy. Vol. 1 (2015). Kharkiv: Derzhavne pidpriemstvo «Ukrainskyi naukovyi farmakopeinyi tsentr yakosti likarskykh zasobiv», 1128.
12. Bicchi, C., Brunelli, C., Cordero, C., Rubiolo, P. et. al. (2004). Methods of the chromat-mass-spectrometric research. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1-2, 195–207.
13. Zenkevich, I. G., Kochetova, M. V., Larionov, O. G., Revina, A. A. (2005). Indeksy uderzhivaniya kak naiboleye vosproizvodimyye khromatograficheskiye parametry dlya kharakteristiki fenolnykh soyedineniy v obrashchenno-fazovoy vysokoeffektivnoy zhidkostnoy khromatografii. *Zhurnal analitichnoi khimii*, 60 (7), 734–746.
14. Tsurkan, O. O., Kovalchuk, T. V., Herhel, O. V. (2012). Khromato-mas-spektrometrychne doslidzhennya letkykh komponentiv nadzemnoyi chastyny shovkovytsi. *Farmakolohiya ta likarska toksykolohiya*, 1 (26), 54–59.
15. Koshovyi, O., Raal, A., Kireyev, I., Tryshchuk, N., Ilina, T., Romanenko, Y. et. al. (2021). Phytochemical and Psychotropic Research of Motherwort (*Leonurus cardiaca* L.) Modified Dry Extracts. *Plants*, 10 (2), 230. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020230>
16. Borodina, N. V., Kovalyov, V. V., Koshovyi, O. M., Akhmedov, E. Y. (2016). The chromatography-mass spectrometry study of *Salix rosmarinifolia* L. *Azerbaijan Pharmaceutical and Pharmacotherapy Journal*, 16 (2), 15–20.
17. Kadri, A., Zarai, Z., Beacute, A., Gharsallah, N., Damak, M., Gdoura, R. (2011). Chemical constituents and antioxidant activity of the essential oil from aerial parts of *Artemisia herba-alba* grown in Tunisian semi-arid region. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10 (15), 2923–2929. doi: <http://doi.org/10.5897/ajb10.2491>

18. Starchenko, G., Hrytsyk, A., Raal, A., Koshovyi, O. (2020). Phytochemical profile and pharmacological activities of water and hydroethanolic dry extracts of *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull. herb. *Plants*, 9, 751. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/plants9060751>
19. Jugreet, B. S., Mahomoodally, M. F. (2020). Pharmacological Properties of Essential Oil Constituents and their Mechanisms of Action. *Plant-Derived Bioactives*. Singapore: Springer, 387–415. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2361-8_18
20. Ilina, T., Skowrońska, W., Kashpur, N., Granica, S., Bazylko, A., Kovalyova A. et. al. (2020). Immunomodulatory Activity and Phytochemical Profile of Infusions from Cleavers Herb. *Molecules*, 25, 3721. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25163721>
21. Sipovo Tala, V. R., Candida da Silva, V. C., Rodrigues, C. M., Nkengfack, A., dos Santos, L. C., Vilegas, W. (2013). Characterization of Proanthocyanidins from *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) G. Don. (Fabaceae) by Flow Injection Analysis – Electrospray Ionization Ion Trap Tandem Mass Spectrometry and Liquid Chromatography. *Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry*, 18, 2803–2820. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18032803>
22. Aljaafari, M. N., AlAli, A. O., Baqais, L., Albubaisy, M., AlAli, M., Molouki, A. et. al. (2021). An Overview of the Potential Therapeutic Applications of Essential Oils. *Molecules*, 26 (3), 628. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26030628>
23. Elshafie, H. S., Camele, I. (2017). An Overview of the Biological Effects of Some Mediterranean Essential Oils on Human Health. *BioMed Research International*, 2017, 1–14. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9268468>
24. Sydora, N., Konovalova, O., Zuikina, S., Semchenko, K., Rudnyk, A., Hurtovenko, I. (2021). Comparative study the essential oil composition of flowers and leaves of *Crataegus monogyna* L. *ScienceRise: Pharmaceutical Science*, 6 (34), 20–26. doi: <http://doi.org/10.15587/2519-4852.2021.249276>
25. Myha, M., Koshovyi, O., Karpun, Y., Kovaleva, A., Mala, O., Parchenko, V. et. al. (2021). Chromato-mass-spectrometric research in *Salvia grandiflora* L., *Salvia pratensis* L. and *Salvia verticillata* L. aboveground organs. *ScienceRise: Pharmaceutical Science*, 5 (33), 32–40. doi: <http://doi.org/10.15587/2519-4852.2021.242761>
26. Giordani, R., Hadeif, Y., Kaloustian, J. (2008). Compositions and antifungal activities of essential oils of some Algerian aromatic plants. *Fitoterapia*, 79 (3), 199–203. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2007.11.004>
27. Marques, A., Teixeira, B., Nunes, M. L. (2016). Bay Laurel (*Laurus nobilis*) Oils. *Essential Oils in Food Preservation, Flavor and Safety*, 239–246. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-416641-7.00026-2>
28. Caputo, L., Nazzaro, F., Souza, L., Aliberti, L., De Martino, L., Fratianni, F. et. al. (2017). *Laurus nobilis*: Composition of Essential Oil and Its Biological Activities. *Molecules*, 22 (6), 930. doi: <http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22060930>

Received date 03.05.2022

Accepted date 14.06.2022

Published date 30.06.2022

Nataliia Dubel*, PhD, Assistant, Departments of Pharmaceutical Management, Drug Technology and Pharmacognosy, Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Halytska str., 2, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine, 76018

Andriy Grytsyk, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor, Departments of Pharmaceutical Management, Drug Technology and Pharmacognosy, Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Halytska str., 2, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine, 76018

Lyubov Grytsyk, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Analysis and Postgraduate Education, Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Halytska str., 2, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine, 76018

Oleh Koshovyi, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor, Head of Department, Department of Pharmacognosy, National University of Pharmacy, Pushkinska str., 53, Kharkiv, Ukraine, 61002

Alla Kovaleva, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor, Department of Pharmacognosy, National University of Pharmacy, Pushkinska str., 53, Kharkiv, Ukraine, 61002

**Corresponding author: Nataliia Dubel, e-mail: tuchak20@gmail.com*